



Evaluation of *trans*-cinnamaldehyde as an anti-hyperglycemic compound through inhibition of α -amylase

Anjali, Ayesha Sadaf & SK Khare*

Department of Chemistry, Enzyme and Microbial Biochemistry Laboratory, Indian Institute of Technology, Hauz-Khas, New Delhi-110 016, Delhi, India

Received 01 April 2019; revised 20 February 2022

The present study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of Cinnamon (*Cinnamomum verum*) derive bioactive compound viz. *trans*-cinnamaldehyde, cinnamyl alcohol, and cinnamic acid on inhibition of *Bacillus licheniformis* α -amylase (BLA) and pancreatic porcine α -amylase (PPA) activity. The inhibition extent of each of the compounds was determined along with their inhibition kinetics and compared with standard inhibitor-acarbose (Synthetic anti-diabetic agent). The IC₅₀ values for *trans*-cinnamaldehyde with respect to BLA and PPA were observed to be 5.38 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and 3.76 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, respectively. The IC₅₀ value of acarbose was estimated to be 6.2 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ for both the amylases. The maximum percent enzyme inhibition of 75.8 (at 10.75 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) and 71.6 (5.38 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) were observed in case of BLA and PPA, respectively, using *trans*-cinnamaldehyde. Cinnamyl alcohol and cinnamic acid on the other hand were observed to show no specific inhibitory effect on the both the α -amylases even at high concentrations. Catalytic efficiency (V_{max}/K_m) of both the amylases was observed to decrease significantly in presence of *trans*-cinnamaldehyde compared to acarbose. Overall, *trans*-cinnamaldehyde was observed as a better inhibitor of α -amylase compared to known synthetic inhibitor-acarbose. Thus, *trans*-cinnamaldehyde could effectively be used for controlling hyperglycemia and diabetes mellitus.

Keywords: Amylase inhibitor, Hyperglycemia, Inhibition kinetics, *Trans*-cinnamaldehyde, α -amylase

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by hyperglycemia (high blood glucose level) due to defects in both insulin secretion and/or insulin action. In recent years the number of DM cases have been increasing alarmingly and in the coming years the number of DM patients may cross 415 million¹. The DM is now considered as one of the severe intimidation to worldwide public health². Patients diagnosed with hyperglycemia are also prone to an increase rate of heart & kidney diseases, lipid metabolic disorders, liver impairment, and neuropathy^{3,4}. On account of these preceding intricacies, a balanced or lowered blood glucose level is a prerequisite for the treatment of diabetes.

One of the important therapeutic approaches to treat DM is to inhibit or slow down the dietary carbohydrate metabolism by inhibiting the enzymes involved in it. In this regard, inhibiting the action of amylase and glucosidase is one of the effective ways to control the postprandial blood glucose level via a reduced rate of glucose uptake⁵⁻⁷. Amylase secreted from the saliva and the pancreas in humans catalyzes the breakdown of

complex dietary starch into smaller oligosaccharide units⁸. The oligomers are then subsequently broken down into simple monomeric glucose units by the enzyme glucosidase secreted from the small intestine^{9,10}. Thus if the action of these two enzymes is blocked/inhibited, the blood glucose level can be easily lowered^{8,11}.

Available commercial synthetic inhibitors such as acarbose and voglibose possess adverse side effects in the form of flatulence, digestive and liver function disorders¹. Consequently, there is a requirement of low-cost natural inhibitors compounds having lower toxicity. Therefore, the focus has been shifted to plant-based natural anti-diabetic products, and search for such natural compounds is a topic of immense interest^{10,12}. Considering this several enzyme inhibitor compounds from different plant sources viz. *Psidium guajava* fruit, *Aframomum melegueta* fruit, *Salacia oblonga* stem extract have been reported by Jiao *et al.*¹, Mohammed *et al.*¹², and Chelladurai & Chinnachamy¹³, respectively, for controlling DM. Plants due to the presence of phenolics, tannins, and alkaloids in their tissue are considered as an effective natural antioxidants and herbals⁹. The use of plant extract or bioactive compounds obtained from them in

*Correspondence:

Phone: +91-1126596533 (Mob); Fax: +91-1126581102
E-mail: skhare@rocketmail.com; skkhare@chemistry.iitd.ac.in

stimulating pancreatic insulin secretion and *vis-à-vis* lower uptake of glucose has been reported by several authors¹⁴. Plant sources have been used to treat other clinical conditions as well. For *e.g.* in a recent study, the root extracts of *Scolymus hispanicus* L. were used to reduce the formation of kidney stones¹⁵. Another study reported the efficacy of oats beta glucan in modulating diabetes mellitus¹⁶. The leaves of *Kigelia africana* have been used for the synthesis of Ag nanoparticles which were found quite potent against pathogenic bacteria¹⁷.

Among different plant sources, Cinnamon (*Cinnamomum verum*), a member of the Lauraceae family is one of the common spices and condiment plant possessing numerous pharmacological activities including antimicrobial, antipyretic, and anti-inflammatory^{18,19}. Active ingredients in cinnamon have been reported to decrease plasma glucose levels in diabetic rats by several authors²⁰⁻²³. However, the use of pure bioactive compounds or phytochemicals derived from cinnamon in controlling DB through inhibition of amylase and glucosidase is not much explored. To the best of our knowledge, there is the only a report of Okutan *et al.*⁴ in which cinnamaldehyde obtained from the cinnamon extract was identified as potent α -amylase inhibitor. In the present study, the effect of cinnamon-derived bioactive compounds *viz.* *trans*-cinnamaldehyde, cinnamyl alcohol, and cinnamic acid on α -amylase activity were evaluated along with synthetic inhibitor-acarbose. The inhibition kinetics of each of the compounds were determined and compared in order to figure out the most potent inhibitor of α -amylase.

Materials and Methods

Materials

α -amylase from two sources *Bacillus licheniformis* and porcine pancreatic were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (USA). Acarbose (commercially available synthetic inhibitor of amylase and glucosidase) and *trans*-cinnamaldehyde, cinnamyl alcohol & cinnamic acid (Cinnamon- derived bioactive compounds) were procured from SISCO Research Laboratories (Mumbai, India) and Sigma Aldrich (USA), respectively. All other chemicals used were of analytical grade.

Amylase assay

Amylase activity was estimated according to the method of Kumar and Khare²⁴, using starch as a substrate. One unit of amylase activity was defined as the amount of enzyme releasing 1 μ mol of maltose equivalent per minute from soluble starch under assay conditions.

α -amylase inhibitory activity

For estimating the inhibitory effect of various cinnamon derived compounds (*trans*-cinnamaldehyde, cinnamyl alcohol, and cinnamic acid) on α -amylase activity, various concentrations of test compounds were individually added to enzyme solution to make a final reaction volume of 500 μ L. The reaction was initiated by adding 500 μ L of 1% (w/v) starch solution followed by incubation of the reaction mixture at 37°C for 15 min. The reducing sugar formed due to amylytic activity was estimated using DNS reagent as described above. Acarbose-a synthetic standard amylase inhibitor was used as a positive control. Based on the extent of enzyme inhibition, the IC₅₀ (half maximal inhibitory concentration) value of each of the active bioactive compounds was recorded.

Kinetics of α -amylase inhibition

K_m and V_{max} values of amylase in the absence and presence of each inhibitor (test compounds) was estimated by measuring enzyme activities under various concentrations (0.2 to 1% w/v) of starch as substrate. The kinetic parameters were calculated using Lineweaver-Burk plot. Unless otherwise mentioned, *Bacillus licheniformis* α -amylase was 10⁵ times diluted before use, hence its exact activity is 10⁵ (denoted as *) multiple of observed activity.

Results and Discussion

α -amylase is one of the important enzymes responsible for the regulation of blood glucose level⁶. Inhibiting the activity of this enzyme is one of the ways to control glucose level and therefore this could be used as one of the strategies in the management of DM. In this context, the search of inhibitors from natural sources will be beneficial as they are known to have no adverse effects. Cinnamon is one of the widely used medicinal plant having insulin- secreting and insulin-sensitizing properties²⁰. Cinnamon extract was observed to mimics the effect of insulin and has also been reported to improve the insulin receptor function²⁵. It was also observed that intake of cinnamon along with rice pudding reduced postprandial blood glucose and delayed gastric emptying^{20,26}. Considering the above notion, in this study anti-diabetic efficacy of compounds derived from cinnamon *viz.* *trans*-cinnamaldehyde, cinnamyl alcohol, and cinnamic acid were individually evaluated based on their inhibition studies on α -amylase activity.

The work was started with estimating half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) value of each of the cinnamon derive compounds on the activity of

Bacillus licheniformis α -amylases (BLA) and porcine pancreatic α -amylase (PPA). IC₅₀ is a measure of the potency of a compound in inhibiting a specific biological or biochemical function and is used to determine the extent of inhibition by the desired compound.

Table 1 shows the effect of varying concentrations of cinnamaldehyde on the activity of α -amylase. The activity of both the enzymes was found to decrease with an increase in concentrations of *trans*-cinnamaldehyde. IC₅₀ values for *trans*-cinnamaldehyde with respect to BLA and PPA were observed to 5.38 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and 3.76 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, respectively. The maximum relative percent enzyme inhibition of 75.8 (at 10.75 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) and 71.6 (5.38 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) were observed in the case of BLA and PPA, respectively. On the similar note, Shihabudeen *et al.*²⁰ used cinnamon bark extract to inhibit the yeast α -glucosidase and mammalian α -glucosidase activity and observed an IC₅₀ value of 5.83 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and 670 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, respectively. Adisakwattana *et al.*²¹ tested various cinnamon plant samples and obtained an IC₅₀ value in the range of 1.23-1.77 mg mL⁻¹ against pancreatic α -amylase.

The other two compounds, cinnamyl alcohol and cinnamic acid used in the present study were observed to show no specific inhibitory effect on both the α -amylases

even at high concentrations of 0.40 mg mL⁻¹. The enzyme activities remain unaffected in the presence of these two cinnamon derived compounds. Hence they were not selected for further studies.

Since *trans*-cinnamaldehyde was observed to significantly inhibit the activity of both the amylases, hence in order to further evaluate its inhibition efficacy, its inhibition extent was compared with well-known synthetic inhibitor-acarbose. The effect of varying concentrations of acarbose on the activity of both BLA and PPA is presented in (Table 2). The IC₅₀ value of acarbose was estimated to be 6.2 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ for both BLA and PPA. The respective maximum inhibition percent of 65.7 and 82.6 were recorded for BLA and PPA at the highest concentration (1.25 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) of acarbose used. In view of the inhibitory nature of both the compounds, Adisakwattana *et al.*²¹ used a combination of both cinnamon extract and acarbose to substantiate the inhibitory effect on intestinal α -glucosidase and pancreatic α -amylase.

Cinnamaldehydes are a class of chemicals characterized by the presence of highly reactive unsaturated carbonyl pharmacophore (Michael acceptor) in their structures, hence these are suitable to react with some enzymes and/or receptors as

Table 1 — Determination of IC₅₀ value for *trans*-cinnamaldehyde against *Bacillus licheniformis* α -amylase and porcine α -amylase

| <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> α -amylase | | | Porcine α -amylase | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Enzyme Activity (10 ⁵ *U mL ⁻¹) | Inhibitor Cinnamaldehyde ($\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) | Relative inhibition (%) | Enzyme Activity (U mL ⁻¹) | Inhibitor Cinnamaldehyde ($\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) | Relative inhibition (%) |
| 1.49 | 0 | 0 | 9.09 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.49 | 2.15 | 24.8 | 9.09 | 1.08 | 11.7 |
| 1.49 | 4.30 | 32.8 | 9.09 | 2.15 | 23.4 |
| 1.49 | 5.38 | 51.0 | 9.09 | 2.69 | 35.6 |
| 1.49 | 6.45 | 57.7 | 9.09 | 3.23 | 42.2 |
| 1.49 | 7.53 | 63.7 | 9.09 | 3.76 | 52.1 |
| 1.49 | 8.60 | 67.7 | 9.09 | 4.30 | 67.6 |
| 1.49 | 10.75 | 75.8 | 9.09 | 5.38 | 71.6 |

Table 2 — Determination of IC₅₀ value for standard inhibitor acarbose against *Bacillus licheniformis* α -amylase and porcine α -amylase

| <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> α -amylase | | | Porcine α -amylase | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Enzyme Activity (10 ⁵ *U mL ⁻¹) | Inhibitor Acarbose ($\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) | Relative inhibition (%) | Enzyme Activity (U mL ⁻¹) | Inhibitor Acarbose ($\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) | Relative inhibition (%) |
| 1.41 | 0 | 0 | 8.48 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.41 | 2.5 | 13.6 | 8.48 | 2.5 | 26.1 |
| 1.41 | 5.0 | 20.4 | 8.48 | 5.0 | 43.2 |
| 1.41 | 6.2 | 52.4 | 8.48 | 6.2 | 54.6 |
| 1.41 | 7.5 | 57.0 | 8.48 | 7.5 | 71.6 |
| 1.41 | 8.7 | 60.9 | 8.48 | 8.7 | 75.3 |
| 1.41 | 1.0 | 63.4 | 8.48 | 1.0 | 82.2 |
| 1.41 | 1.25 | 65.7 | 8.48 | 1.25 | 82.6 |

Table 3 — Kinetic parameters of α -amylase from *Bacillus licheniformis* and porcine pancreas in presence and absence of acarbose and *trans*-cinnamaldehyde

| Kinetic parameter | <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> * | | Porcine pancreatic | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| | Acarbose | <i>Trans</i> -cinnamaldehyde | Acarbose | <i>Trans</i> -cinnamaldehyde |
| K_m (mg) | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.06 |
| K_m (mg) for free enzyme | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| V_{max} ($\mu\text{mol min}^{-1}$) | 3.33×10^5 | 1.11×10^5 | 10.86 | 6.94 |
| V_{max} ($\mu\text{mol min}^{-1}$)for free enzyme | 3.33×10^5 | 1.11×10^5 | 17.85 | 17.85 |
| V_{max}/K_m ($\mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$) | 1.66×10^6 | 5.04×10^5 | 72.40 | 113.77 |
| V_{max}/K_m ($\mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$) for free enzyme | 2.56×10^6 | 2.56×10^6 | 119 | 119 |
| K_i (mg mL ⁻¹) | 12.50 | 3.58 | 17.70 | 33.20 |
| Type of inhibition | Competitive | Non-competitive | Non-competitive | Uncompetitive |

Free enzyme: in absence of acarbose/*trans*-cinnamaldehyde

*multiple of 10^5

electrophiles, and as a result, produce several therapeutically pertinent pharmacological functions²⁷. Naturally occurring molecules, *trans*-cinnamaldehyde is representatives of this group, and have attracted lots of interest for their bioactivities, especially the anti-cancer and anti-inflammatory properties²⁸.

Determination of kinetic parameters

The degree of BLA and PPA inhibition was further corroborated by determining the catalytic efficiency of both the enzymes in the presence as well absence of *trans*-cinnamaldehyde and acarbose. Table 3 shows the kinetic parameters of both BLA and PPA in the presence as well as the absence of acarbose and *trans*-cinnamaldehyde. In absence of inhibitors, K_m , V_{max} , and V_{max}/K_m values of BLA was found to be 0.13 mg, $3.33 \times 10^5 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1}$, and $2.56 \times 10^6 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1}$, respectively, (*denotes $\times 10^5$). PPA on the other hand, showed 0.15 mg, $17.85 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1}$, and $119 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1}$, as its respective K_m , V_{max} , and V_{max}/K_m values. In presence of *trans*-cinnamaldehyde, K_m value was observed to be almost the same for BLA, whereas, V_{max} and V_{max}/K_m values were reduced to $1.11 \times 10^5 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1}$ and $5.04 \times 10^5 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1}$, respectively. This shows that *trans*-cinnamaldehyde presents the non-competitive type of inhibition on BLA. Shihabudeen *et al.*²⁰ during their study on inhibition of α -glucosidase using cinnamon bark extract recorded competitive reversible inhibition.

In the case of PPA, *trans*-cinnamaldehyde showed the uncompetitive type of enzyme inhibition. Both K_m (0.06 mg) and V_{max} ($6.94 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1}$) were reduced in the presence of *trans*-cinnamaldehyde compared to K_m of 0.15 mg and V_{max} of $17.85 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1}$ in absence of *trans*-cinnamaldehyde.

As far as acarbose is concerned, it inhibited the BLA in competitive mode. K_m value was found to increase by a value of 0.07 in presence of acarbose whereas, no change in V_{max} was observed. The K_i (inhibition constant) was determined to be $12.50 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, showing it as a potential inhibitor. Acarbose action on PPA is non-competitive. No prominent change in K_m was detected compared to K_m in absence of it. V_{max} , decreased to $10.86 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1}$ from $17.85 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1}$. The K_i (inhibition constant) was calculated out to be $17.70 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. Thus, the binding of the inhibitor (Acarbose) to the enzyme reduces its activity but does not affect the binding of substrate

Overall, it could be concluded that *trans*-cinnamaldehyde is a good inhibitor compared to acarbose for the inhibition of the clinically relevant enzyme (α -amylase). Catalytic efficiency (V_{max}/K_m) of the enzyme was found to decrease much more in the case of *trans*-cinnamaldehyde compared to acarbose. A lesser amount of cinnamaldehyde is required to inhibit the α -amylase compared to acarbose, even the IC_{50} value of *trans*-cinnamaldehyde was much lower ($3.76 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) in comparison to that of acarbose ($6.20 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$). Hence *trans*-cinnamaldehyde was observed as a better inhibitor compared to known synthetic inhibitor-acarbose. Thus, *trans*-cinnamaldehyde could effectively be used for the management of DB.

Conclusion

Trans-cinnamaldehyde was observed to be an excellent inhibitor compared to acarbose for inhibition of α -amylase both in terms of catalytic efficiency and IC_{50} value. The catalytic efficiency of the enzyme was found to decrease drastically in comparison to synthetic α -amylase inhibitors

(acarbose). This shows that *trans*-cinnamaldehyde act as a potential inhibitor of *B. licheniformis* and pancreatic porcine α -amylases. Therefore, *trans*-cinnamaldehyde obtained from the cinnamon plant could effectively be used for controlling the blood sugar level and *vis-a-vis* management of hyperglycemia or diabetes mellitus.

Acknowledgement

The authors gratefully appreciate IIT Delhi and the Department of Chemistry for providing the infrastructure and facilities for carrying out the research.

Conflict of interest

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Jiao Y, Hua D, Huang D, Zhang Q & Yan C, Characterization of a new heteropolysaccharide from green guava and its application as an α -glucosidase inhibitor for the treatment of type II diabetes. *Food Funct*, (2018) DOI: 10.1039/c8fo00790j.
- Wang F, Li H, Zhao H, Zhang Y, Qiu P, Li J & Wang S, Antidiabetic activity and chemical composition of *Sanbai* melon seed oil. *Evid Based Complement Alter Med*, (2018) Article ID 5434156.
- Jayaraj S, Suresh S & Kadepagari R-K, Amylase inhibitors and their biomedical applications. *Starch*, 65 (2013) 535.
- Okutan L, Kongstad KT, Jäger AK & Staerk D, High-resolution α -amylase assay combined with high-performance liquid chromatography-solid-phase extraction-nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy for expedited identification of α -amylase inhibitors: proof of concept and α -Amylase inhibitor in Cinnamon. *J Agric Food Chem*, 62 (2014) 11465.
- Lordan S, Smyth TJ, Soler-Vila A, Stanton C & Ross RP, The α -amylase and α -glucosidase inhibitory effects of Irish seaweed Extracts. *Food Chem*, 141 (2013), 2170.
- Trinh BT, Staerk D & Jäger AK, Screening for potential α -glucosidase and α -amylase inhibitory constituents from selected Vietnamese plants used to treat type 2 diabetes. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 186 (2016) 189.
- Oyedemi SO, Oyedemi BO, Ijeh II, Ohanyerem PE, Coopoosamy RM & Aiyegoro OA, Alpha-amylase inhibition and antioxidative capacity of some antidiabetic plants used by the traditional healers in southeastern Nigeria. *Sci World J*, (2017) Article ID 3592491.
- Kalita D, Holm DG, LaBarbera DV, Petrash JM & Jayanty SS, Inhibition of α -glucosidase, α -amylase, and aldose reductase by potato polyphenolic compounds. *PLoS One*, 13 (2018) e0191025.
- Kumar S, Narwal S, Kumar V & Prakash O, α -glucosidase inhibitors from plants: A natural approach to treat diabetes. *Pharmacogn Rev*, 5 (2011) 19.
- Jhong CH, Riyaphan J, Lin SH, Chia YC & Weng CF, Screening α -glucosidase and α -amylase inhibitors from natural compounds by molecular docking *in silico*. *Biofactors*, 41 (2015) 242.
- Dastjerdi ZM, Namjoyan F & Azemi ME, α -amylase inhibition activity of some plants extract of *Teucrium* species. *Eur J Biol Sci*, 7 (2015) 26.
- Mohammed A, Gbonjubola VA, Koorbanally NA & Islam MS, Inhibition of key enzymes linked to type 2 diabetes by compounds isolated from *Aframomum melegueta* fruit. *Pharm Biol*, 55 (2017) 1010.
- Chelladurai GRM & Chinnachamy C, α -amylase and α -glucosidase inhibitory effects of aqueous stem extract of *Salacia oblonga* and its GC-MS analysis. *Braz J Pharm Sci*, 54 (2018) 17151.
- Choudhury H, Pandey M, Hua CK, Mun CS, Jing JK, Kong L, Ern LY, Ashraf NA, Kit SW, Yee TS, Pichika MR, Gorain B & Kesharwani P, An update on natural compounds in the remedy of diabetes mellitus: A systematic review. *J Tradit Complement Med*, 8 (2018) 361.
- Coşkun NK, Coşkun A, Ertas B, Ahmad A, Özdöl MU, Çankaya S, Çetinko Y, Ozel Y & Elçioglu HK, Dose-dependent effect of *Scolymus hispanicus* L. (sevketibostan) on ethylene glycol-induced kidney stone disease in rats. *Indian J Biochem Biophys*, 59 (2022) 7.
- Ghalwash, AA, Baalash AA, Gaafar NK, Wasfy RE & Noeman SE, The interplay between oat beta glucan, gut microbiota and gut-liver axis in treatment of obesity associated non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and Type II diabetes mellitus. *Indian J Biochem Biophys*, 59 (2022) 14.
- Viresh M & Buyani B, Biocatalytic and biological activities of *Kigelia africana* mediated silver monometallic and copper-silver bimetallic nanoparticles. *Indian J Biochem Biophys*, 59 (2022) 94.
- Shen Y, Jia LN, Honma N, Hosono T, Ariga T & Seki T, Beneficial effects of cinnamon on the metabolic syndrome, inflammation, and pain, and mechanisms underlying these effects - A review. *J Tradit Complement Med*, 2 (2012) 27.
- Hamidpour R, Hamidpour M, Hamidpour S & Shahdari M, Cinnamon from the selection of traditional applications to its novel effects on the inhibition of angiogenesis in cancer cells and prevention of Alzheimer's disease, and a series of functions such as antioxidant, anticholesterol, antidiabetes, antibacterial, antifungal, nematicidal, acaracidal, and repellent activities. *J Tradit Complement Med*, 16 (2015) 66.
- Shihabudeen HMS, Priscilla DH, Thirumurugan K, Cinnamon extract inhibits α -glucosidase activity and dampens postprandial glucose excursion in diabetic rats. *NutrMetab*, 8 (2011) 46.
- Adisakwattana S, Lerdsuwankij O, Poputtachai U, Minipun A & Suparpprom C, Inhibitory activity of cinnamon bark species and their combination effect with acarbose against intestinal α -glucosidase and pancreatic α -amylase. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr*, 66 (2011) 143.
- Medagama AB, The glycaemic outcomes of Cinnamon, a review of the experimental evidence and clinical trials. *Nutr J*, 14 (2015) 108.
- Lin GM, Chen YH, Yen PL & Chang ST, Antihyperglycemic and antioxidant activities of twig extract from *Cinnamomum osmophloeum*. *J Tradit Complement Med*, 6 (2016), 281.
- Kumar S & Khare SK, Chloride activated halophilic α -amylase from *Marinobacter* sp. EMB8: production optimization and nanoimmobilization for efficient starch hydrolysis. *Enzyme Res*, (2015) Article ID 859485.

- 25 Qin B, Nagasaki M, Ren M, Bajotto G, Oshida Y & Sato Y, Cinnamon extract (traditional herb) potentiates in vivo insulin-regulated glucose utilization via enhancing insulin signaling in rats. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*, 62 (2003) 139.
- 26 Hlebowicz J, Darwiche G, Björgell O&Almér LO, Effect of cinnamon on postprandial blood glucose, gastric emptying, and satiety in healthy subjects. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 85 (2007) 1552.
- 27 Franco RR, Carvalho DS, Moura FBR, Justino AB, Silva HCG, Peixoto LG & Espindola FS, Antioxidant and anti-glycation capacities of some medicinal plants and their potential inhibitory against digestive enzymes related to type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 215 (2018) 140.
- 28 Guo X, Sun W, Huang L, Wu L, Hou Y, Qin L & Liu T, Effect of cinnamaldehyde on glucose metabolism and vessel function. *Med Sci Monit*, 23 (2017) 3844.