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Synthesis and X-ray structural characterization of pyridine-2carboxaldehyde thiosemicarbazone ( $\mathbf{L}$ ), and its metal complexes, $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ are reported. X-ray diffraction reveals that the metal centres in the two complexes are distorted square planar and square pyramid geometries, respectively. Among the three compounds $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ is found to be active against human keratinocyte cell line.
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Pharmacological properties of transition metalthiosemicarbazone complexes attracted considerable attention of chemists during a long period ${ }^{1,2}$. Thiosemicarbazone can bind to metal ions either in its neutral thione form (1, Scheme 1) or it can tautomerize to its thiolate form (2, Scheme 1) as bidentate N,S-donor ligand forming a five membered chelate ring at metal centre ${ }^{2 b-2 d}$. Introduction of additional donor site through the carbonylic carbon can increase the donor centres in the ligand backbone. Some unusual mode of coordination of thiosemicarbazone is also reported in literature ${ }^{3}$. Biological activities of transition metalthiosemicarbazone complexes, as we mentioned, have also made the compounds promising. These can act as antineoplastic, antimalarial, antibacterial, antiviral and antifungal ${ }^{2 b, 4}$ compounds. In 1956, for the first time, the anticancer activities of pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde thiosemicarbazone was reported by Skipper et al ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a}}$. In
subsequent years, bis(thiosemicarbazone) complexes of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{II})$ were found to be potential anticancer agents ${ }^{5}$. The ligand thiosemicarbazone has some analytical applications as those have strong affinity for metal ion binding ${ }^{6}$. Further, recent research on $s$-, $d$ - and even $f$-block metal ions are highly focused whereas less attention has been paid to the studies of $p$-block metal ions ${ }^{7}$. As a heavy $p$-block element, $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ with its large radius, flexible coordination numbers, varied stereochemical activities is important for designing different functional materials ${ }^{7 c, 7 \mathrm{~d}}$. Considering all these aspects, we have synthesized and X-ray crystallographically characterized pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde thiosemicarbazone ( $\mathbf{L}$ ) which was previously reported to be as antileukemic agents ${ }^{2 a}$, its new metal complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$. Compound $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ is found to be cytotoxic against keratinocyte cell lines, while $\mathbf{L}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{n}$ have no specificity on those cell lines.

## Experimental

Pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde, copper(II) perchlorate hexahydrate, lead(II) nitrate were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used as received. Thiosemicarbazide was purchased from E. Merck India. Solvents were of reagent grade and used without further purification.

Elemental analyses (carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen) were performed on a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHNS/O elemental analyzer. UV-vis and IR spectra ( KBr discs, $4000-300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) were recorded on Shimadzu UV-vis 2450 spectrophotometer and Perkin-Elmer FT-IR (model RX1) spectrometer, respectively.

The ligand, pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde thio semicarbazone ( $\mathbf{L}$ ) was prepared as follows: Ethanolic solutions of pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde ( 8 mmol , 0.88 g ) and thiosemicarbazide ( $8 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.97 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were
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Scheme 1
mixed and refluxed for six hours to yield the thiosemicarbazone, $\mathbf{L}$. After cooling, the solution turned green and brown crystals of $\mathbf{L}$ appeared on keeping the solution in open air overnight. Yield: 0.844 g ( $87 \%$ based on thiosemicarbazide). Anal. (\%): Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 46.67$; $\mathrm{H}, 4.47$; $\mathrm{N}, 31.11$ Found: C, 46.20; H, 4.39; N, 31.21. IR cm ${ }^{-1}$ (KBr): 1612, 1524, 1460, 1433, 1353. UV-vis (MeOH, nm): $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\varepsilon)$ at 326 (5710).

Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ was as follows: Aqueous ethanolic solution of copper(II) perchlorate hexahydrate ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.30 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added dropwise to the ligand $\mathbf{L}(1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.20 \mathrm{~g})$ in ethanol with stirring. The greenish brown coloured ligand solution changed to deep green. Keeping the solution in open air for about 60 days yielded green coloured block shaped diffraction-quality single crystals of complex $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$. Yield $0.225 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%$ based on metal salt). Anal. (\%): Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{SCl}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}$ : C, 16.91; H, 2.84; N, 11.27 Found: C, 16.02; H, 2.79; $\mathrm{N}, 11.20 . \mathrm{IR} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (KBr): 3252, 1627, 1392, 1048. UV-vis (MeOH, nm): $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\varepsilon)$ at 293 (60160), 323 (45680), 402 (24760).

Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ was as follows: The gradual mixing of ethanolic solution of lead(II) nitrate tetrahydrate ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.30 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and $\mathbf{L}$ ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in ethanol with gentle heating and stirring resulted in a yellow solution mixture. The mixture was then kept in open air for slow evaporation. Yellow coloured block shaped crystals of $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ appeared after about $60-65$ days. Yield: 0.216 g ( $72 \%$ based on metal salt). Anal. (\%): Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{SPb}$ : C, 16.43; H, 1.57; N, 16.43 Found: C, 16.52; H, 1.50; N, 15.99. IR cm ${ }^{-1}$ (KBr): 1575, 1382, 1030. UV-vis $(\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{nm}): \lambda_{\text {max }}(\varepsilon)$ at 327 (13800).

Single crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected using a Rigaku XtaLABmini diffractometer equipped with mercury CCD detector. The data were collected with graphite monochromated $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$ at 100 K using $\omega$ scans. The data were reduced using Crystal Clear suite $2.0^{8}$ and the space group determination was done using Olex $2{ }^{9}$. The structure was resolved by direct method and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures using the SHELXL ${ }^{10}$ package using OLEX2 suite. The crystal data and refinement details are listed in Table 1. All the figures have been generated using ORTEP-32 ${ }^{11}$.

## Results and discussion

Ligand (L) was prepared by refluxing pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde and thiosemicarbazide in ethanol. Complexes $\quad\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ were prepared by mixing copper(II) perchlorate hexahydrate and lead(II) nitrate, respectively with the ligand. $\mathbf{L}$ and its two complexes are soluble in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and DMSO. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{L}$ (Supplementary data, Fig. S1) exhibits a band at $2923 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating $v(-\mathrm{NH}-)$ stretching frequency. A strong band at $1353 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in $\mathbf{L}$ is assigned to $v(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N})$. This band is shifted to some higher frequencies in its metal complexes (Supplementary data, Figs S2 and S3). This shifting of $v(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N})$ frequencies to higher value is attributed to the deprotonation of the $\alpha$-nitrogen atom in the ligand framework making some elongation the C-N bond. Band at $\sim 1030-1060 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the ligand and metal complexes arises because of the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S})$ frequencies. Band at $\sim 1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is because of imine bond in the structures ${ }^{12}$. The ligand and each of the complexes were X-ray crystallographically characterized (Tables 1, 2, 3, 4).

The thermal ellipsoid probability plots of $\mathbf{L}$, $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ are given in Figs 1-3. $\mathbf{L}$ is found to be in its normal thione form (C-S, $1.65 \AA$ (1) (Table 2), Scheme 1) with four molecules in the asymmetric unit and crystallized in monoclinic non-centrosymmetric Pc space group. From the bond angle-bond distance data (Table 3) the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ centre in $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ is found to be in a distorted square planar geometry. Upon coordination with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}), \mathbf{L}$ is in its thione form (C-S, $1.71 \AA(\mathbf{1})$, Scheme 1) with minor elongation in the C-S bond. Among the four corners of the square plane, three come from the ligand framework (one pyridine nitrogen, N 1 ; one imine nitrogen, N 2 ; and one thione, S1) and the fourth coordination site is occupied by a water molecule. The bond distances are also very close. The deviation between maximum and minimum bond distances is $0.343 \AA$. Coordination geometry of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ of $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ is best described as distorted square pyramid as exemplified by its $\tau$ value $(=0.21)^{13}$. Considering bond angle-bond distance data (Table 4), pyridine and imine nitrogen from the $\mathbf{L}$ and two oxygen atoms from two different nitrates form the basal plane. The thione sulphur resides in its axial position. Here the equatorial bond distances range from 2.54-2.88 $\AA(\Delta=0.34 \AA)$. The $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ bond distance is $2.90 \AA$. This compound is assumed to be a neutral polymer as firstly, there is no charge balancing
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Table 1 - Crystal data and structure refinement parameters of $\mathbf{L},\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{n}$

| Parameters | L | $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emperical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{SCl}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{SPb}$ |
| Formula weight | 180.24 | 496.74 | 779.51 |
| $T$ (K) | 293 | 293 | 100 |
| Wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | Plc1 | P121/c 1 | P2ybc |
| Unit cell dimensions |  |  |  |
| $a(\AA)$ | 17.410(6) | 12.9545(11) | 21.7273(14) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 4.7899(19) | 9.7618(6) | 6.9192(4) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 20.691(7) | 14.9853(12) | 19.0657(13) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90.170(16) | 111.582(3) | 115.385(2) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 1725.5(11) | 1762.2(2) | 2589.5(3) |
| Z | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| $D_{\text {calc }}\left(\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.388 | 1.872 | 2.624 |
| Absorption coeff. ( $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) | 0.323 | 1.724 | 13.232 |
| $F(000)$ | 752 | 1004 | 1904 |
| Crystal size ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | $0.25 \times 0.14 \times 0.1$ | $0.3 \times 0.3 \times 0.2$ | $0.21 \times 0.18 \times 0.12$ |
| Theta range for data collection ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 3.054-27.540 | 2.548-27.492 | 2.137-27.483 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} -22 & \leq h \leq 22,-6 \leq k \leq 6, \\ -26 & \leq l \leq 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -16 \leq h \leq 16,-12 \leq k \leq 12, \\ & -19 \leq l \leq 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -28 \leq h \leq 28,-8 \leq k \leq 8,-24 \leq l \\ & \leq 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 13425 | 13519 | 26693 |
| Independent reflections | 7519 [Rint $=0.1194]$ | 4004 [Rint $=0.0438$ ] | 5908 [Rint $=0.1365$ ] |
| Completeness of theta | $99.7 \%[\theta=25.242]$ | $98.7 \%[\theta=25.242]$ | $99.5 \%[\theta=25.242]$ |
| Absorption correction | Multi-scan | Multi-scan | Multi-scan |
| $T_{\text {max }}$ and $T_{\text {min }}$ | 1.000 and 0.707 | 1.000 and 0.847 | 1.000 and 0.802 |
| Refinement method | Full matrix | Full matrix | Full matrix |
| Data/restrains/parameters | 7519/2/436 | 4004/0/ 255 | 5908/0/ 379 |
| Goodness-of fit (GOF) $F^{2}$ | 0.987 | 1.024 | 1.115 |
| Final $R$ index $[l>2 \sigma(l)]$ | $R_{1}=0.1031$ and $\mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.2498$ | $R_{1}=0.0421$ and $\mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.1149$ | $R_{1}=0.0527$ and $\mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.1395$ |
| $R$ index (all data) | $R_{1}=0.1949$ and $\mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.3430$ | $R_{I}=0.0529$ and $\mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.1259$ | $R_{1}=0.0573$ and $\mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.1423$ |
| Largest difference between peak and hole (e $\AA^{-3}$ ) | 0.877, -0.366 | 0.789, -0.533 | 3.210, -3.669 |

Table 2 — Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ of $\mathbf{L}$

## Bond lengths

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.42(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.41(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.37(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.275(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.39(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $1.378(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.41(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.347(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.30(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $1.343(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.308(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $1.640(15)$ |
| Bond angles |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $116.5(14)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $114.6(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $123.8(14)$ | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $123.9(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $117.3(12)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $121.4(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $122.8(12)$ |  |  |

counter anion in its X-ray structure and secondly, the ligand is in its neutral form 1 (Scheme 1) with thione group (C-S bond distance in $\mathbf{L}, 1.64 \AA$ (Table 2) and in $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}, 1.68 \AA$ (Table 4)). As the ligand is neutral, the +2 formal charge on Pb in each unit of the polymer is neutralized by the two coordinated nitrate groups. As $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ is larger than $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ in terms

Table 3 - Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$

| Bond lengths |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.013(2)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.2787(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.940(2)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | $1.935(2)$ |
| Bond angles |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $80.45(9)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | $96.15(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $85.17(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $165.62(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(9)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $98.21(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | $175.98(10)$ |

of atomic size, it prefers higher coordination number. As a result the counter anion nitrate which was present with the starting $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ salt is found coordinated with the $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{L}$ moiety. The appended oxygen atoms of the metal bound nitrates bind with different $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{L}$ moieties to make it a polymeric chain.

All the three compounds $\mathbf{L},\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ were investigated for cytotoxic activity. $\mathbf{L}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ did not

| Table 4 - Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ of $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bond lengths |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.547(10) | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 2.702(10) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.690(11)$ | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 2.677(9) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.631(10)$ | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 2.640(8) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 2.901(3) | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 2.890(3) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2.582(10)$ |  |  |
| Bond angles |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 69.2(3) | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 79.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 76.6(3) | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 99.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 98.9(3) | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 76.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 62.2(3) | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 70.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 127.8(2) | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 91.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 65.6(2) | $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 62.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 140.3(3) | $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 127.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 66.4(3) | $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 66.0(2) |



Fig. 1 - ORTEP of $\mathbf{L}$ with $20 \%$ ellipsoid probability.


Fig. 2 - ORTEP of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ with $20 \%$ ellipsoid probability.


Fig. 3 - ORTEP of $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ with $20 \%$ ellipsoid probability.




Fig. 4 - Cytotoxic activity of synthesized pyridine-2carboxaldehyde thiosemicarbazone and its Pb and Cu complexes. $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ a) $\mathbf{L}$, (b) $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ and (c) $\left.\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]$.
show any specificity, but $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ showed selectivity against cancer cells compared to normal keratinocytes (Fig. 4). The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of the complex against normal cell was $7.5 \mu M$ and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for cancer cell was $5.0 \mu M$.

Herein, we have reported the synthesis and X-ray crystallographic characterization of pyridine-2carboxaldehyde thiosemicarbazone $(\mathbf{L}),\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]$ $\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{n}$. Polymeric nature of the Pb complex is due to larger size of the metal as well as its preference for higher coordination number. $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complex of $\mathbf{L}\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ was active against keratinocyte cell lines. However, no specificity was observed for $\mathbf{L}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{n}$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ against normal and cancer cells was $7.5 \mu M$ and $5.0 \mu M$, respectively, which indicates that the complex has the potential to be explored further for anti-cancer activity.

## Supplementary data

CCDC 1519838, 1519844 and 1524581 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for $\mathbf{L}$, $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{L})\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$, respectively. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk. Other supplementary data associated with this article i.e., Figs S1-S3 and cif files, are available in the electronic form at http://www.niscair.res.in/jinfo/ijca/IJCA_56A(06) 616-620_SupplData.pdf.
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