



Influence of cocoon stifling and storage on silk reeling performance and quality of muga raw silk

Shakti Nandan Mishra^a, D Ravikumar, Aswatha Reddy & Subhas V Naik

Central Silk Technological Research Institute, BTM Layout,
Madiwala, Bangalore 560 068, India

Received 16 November 2018; revised received and
accepted 16 October 2019

The hot air electrical stifling study has been conducted to evaluate the influence of electrical stifling temperature, stifling hours and duration of cocoon preservation on reeling performance and quality of muga silk. Muga cocoons are reeled on motorised muga silk reeling machine. Electrical hot air stifling has shown superior reeling performance in comparison to smoke stifled and sun dried cocoons. The study has shown that raw silk recovery from electrically hot air stifled cocoons is significantly high in comparison to flame-smoke-sun stifling with a gain of about 6.37%. Similarly there is gradual decline in raw silk recovery (RSR) percentage with increase in storage period of muga cocoon. Thus, RSR has declined by 14.32% in course of 6 months of cocoon storage. Tenacity is found higher in October crop (average 3.36 g/den), while it is average 2.83 g/den in crop of May month. Muga raw silk yarn has lost elongation with extended storage of yarn. In fresh muga raw silk yarn, elongation is found 37.55%, while in one year old stored muga raw silk yarn elongation has come down to 33.02%. Thus, the study recommends stifling muga cocoon by hot air stifling for four hours. Cocoon preservation time needs to be minimized and muga silk yarn of October (Kotia) crop with high tenacity should be used as warp yarn in weaving.

Keywords: Cocoon, Stifling, Muga raw silk, Raw silk recovery, Silk reeling, Tenacity

The golden yellow muga silk yarn produced by muga silk worm (*Antheraea assamensis*) was exclusively being produced in North East Indian state of Assam and adjoining areas. It is considered to be most expensive natural commercial textile fibre¹. Presently, 1 kg of muga raw silk yarn costs about Rs 17500-20000. Commercial muga cocoons are available only during two seasons in a year, viz once during April-June (Jethua crop) and again during October – December (Kotia crop)². Presently, there is a practice to stifle muga cocoons by exposing them to smoke for

about half an hour followed by drying them in sun for about 15 days. This elaborate process has its own problems, i.e. blackening of cocoons, losses during prolonged sun drying and damage due to ultra violet rays³.

This study was initiated with an objective of overcoming the problems of conventional stifling. The electrical stifling was done to evaluate the influence of electrical stifling temperature, stifling duration and cocoon storage on reeling performance and silk quality. To the best of our knowledge, hardly any information is available on stifling and storage of muga cocoons and their influence on muga silk recovery and muga raw silk quality. The present investigation was therefore undertaken to study the influence of muga cocoon stifling process using electrical dryer with respect to temperature and duration on the reeling performance; to optimize the stifling process for obtaining maximum raw silk using minimum energy, to study the muga cocoon storage duration and understand its influence on reeling performance; and to optimize the cocoon storage conditions for better maintenance of reeling performance.

Experimental

Four commercial crops of muga cocoons were used with ratio of two crops per year. In each crop, 10,000 numbers of cocoons were procured for study. Conventional barrel furnace type smoke drying system was used for stifling. The 50 kg capacity electrical hot air stifling chamber was used for cocoon drying. Cocoons were stored in wire mesh cage with perforated trays. Each rack was having capacity to store 10,000 cocoons. Cooking was done by open pan cocoon cooking device⁴. In cooking bath, water was taken in cocoon: water ratio of 1:10 (by weight). Washing soda was added for cooking cocoons at the rate of 2 g/L of water. Cooked cocoons were reeled on motorised muga silk reeling cum twisting machine developed by Central Silk Technological Research Institute, Central Silk Board, India.

Test Methods

Five cocoon storage periods, viz 1 day, 3 days, 30 days, 90 days and 180 days were taken for study. Five types of drying treatments were studied. The

^a Corresponding author.
E-mail: snmishra.csb@gmail.com

electrical hot air drying schedules were examined in duration of 1-4 h. In electrical hot air stifling, temperature was taken in decreasing order of 110°C, 100°C, 90 °C and 80 °C per hour respectively⁵. However, the conventional stifling was done with smoke flame by keeping cocoons above open-ended tin barrel for about 30 min to kill pupa followed by prolonged drying. Raw silk recovery (%) and absolute silk content were calculated as follows:

$$\text{Raw silk recovery (\%)} = \frac{\text{Weight of silk yarn reeled}}{\text{Absolute silk content}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Absolute silk content} = \text{Number of cocoons in lot} \times \text{average shell weight}$$

Results and Discussion

The commercial characteristics of muga cocoon for each crop have been studied. In each year, October (Kotia) crop has shown superior commercial cocoon characters in comparison to May (Jethua) crop (Table 1).

Weight Loss in Cocoon on Stifling

The influence of various stifling temperature and duration has been studied. The electrically hot air stifled cocoons are weighed after 24h of stifling to facilitate proper cooling of cocoons. The weight loss in conventionally flame-smoke stifled cocoon has been taken after 15 days of sun drying as practiced commonly. The weight loss in cocoon is indicated in Table 2.

Influence of Drying Method & Cocoon Storage Period on Reeling Performance

The electrical hot air stifling has shown superior reeling performance in comparison to conventional smoke stifled and sun dried cocoons. The average RSR in electrical stifled cocoon is found 39.84%, while in conventional stifling it is 37.45%. Thus, electrical hot air drying has shown RSR% higher by 2.39. This shows a gain of 6.37% upon conventional stifling. Similarly there is gradual decline in RSR% with increase in storage period of muga cocoon, irrespective of the method of stifling adopted. Average RSR for 4h dried cocoons reeled after 1 day, 3 days, 30 days, 90 days and 180 days of stifling are 48.56, 42.84, 38.35, 35.95 and 34.24% respectively. Thus, raw silk recover (RSR %) has declined by 14.32% in course of 180 days of storage. This shows a loss of 30.45% upon one day old stifled muga cocoons (Table 3).

Influence of Cocoon Storage Period on Waste Generation

With the increase in muga cocoon storage period, the amount of reeling waste generated keeps on increasing significantly. The average visible reeling waste generated by one day old cocoon is found 42.44 %, which is then, increased to 47.68% in 180 days old stifled cocoons, with rise of 5.25 %.

Influence of Stifling and Storage on Muga Raw Silk Quality

Upto 180 days storage, raw silk quality is not influenced in respect of tenacity (g/den). However, tenacity has shown significant variation in October

Table 1 — Commercial characters of muga cocoon

Trial	Crop	ACW, g	ASW, g	AFL, m	NBFL, m	Fw, g	Denier	R, %
Trial 1	Oct, 2013	5.20	0.480	415.32	146.62	0.258	5.60	35.30
Trial 2	May, 2014	4.83	0.408	344.90	117.16	0.241	6.28	33.97
Trial 3	Oct, 2014	5.60	0.510	430.13	184.98	0.280	5.86	43.01
Trial 4	May, 2015	4.80	0.320	318.00	102.00	0.210	5.94	32.08

ACW – Average cocoon weight, ASW – Average shell weight, AFL – Average filament length, NBFL – Non broken filament length, and Fw – Filament weight.

Table 2 — Weight loss in Muga cocoon on various drying treatments

Drying code	Temperature, °C				Weight loss, %
	1h	2h	3h	4h	
D1	110	-	-	-	8.78
D2	110	100	-	-	19.85
D3	110	100	90	-	31.46
D4	110	100	90	80	33.55
D5	Conventional : Smoke killing & 15 days sun drying				35.29

ANOVA

Source	Dependent variable	Type III sum of squares	df	Mean square	F	Sig.
Drying, h	loss %	10145.710	4	2536.427	296.281	0.000

1h, 2h, 3h and 4h are drying hours.

Table 3 — Change in muga raw silk recovery (%) with stifling and storage period

Storage days	Hot air stifling				Conventional smoke+sun	Gain % in 4 h in terms of absolute silk content
	1 h	2 h	3 h	4 h		
1	46.14	46.46	46.86	48.56	42.19	6.37
3	41.88	41.94	42.10	42.84	40.10	2.74
30	37.56	37.77	38.05	38.35	35.49	2.86
90	35.21	35.29	35.36	35.95	35.04	0.91
180	32.25	32.30	32.50	34.24	31.22	3.02
Loss % in terms of absolute silk content	13.89	14.16	14.36	14.32	10.97	

ANOVA

[Dependent variable- RSR (%)]

Source	Type III sum of squares	df	Mean square	F	Sig.
Model	157683.062 ^a	9	17520.340	347.242	0.000
Drying_(h)	101.144	4	25.286	0.501	0.735
storage_cd	2554.919	4	638.730	12.659	0.000
Error	4591.468	91	50.456		
Total	162274.530	100			

R² = 0.972 (adjusted R² = 0.969).

Table 4 — Influence of crop on tenacity and elongation %

Crop	Tenacity, g/den	Elongation, %
Oct, 2013	3.36	33.62
May, 2014	3.07	32.42
Oct, 2014	3.35	36.68
May, 2015	2.59	38.41

ANOVA

Source	Dependent variable	Type III sum of squares	df	Mean square	F	Sig.
Crop	Tenacity, g/den	9.723	3	3.241	57.784	0.000
	Elongation, %	567.052	3	189.017	13.729	0.000

(Kotia) and May (Jethua) crops⁶. The average tenacity of raw silk yarn is found to be 3.09 g/den. Tenacity is found higher in October crop (average 3.36 g/den), while it is 2.59 g/den in crop of May month. There has been a reduction in elongation of yarn with lapses of yarn storage time. The testing of all yarn is done during Nov- Dec 2015, and the yarns of cocoon crop (Oct13 & May 14) has the average elongation of 33.02 %, while that of Oct 14 & May 15 has the average elongation of 37.55 %. Thus, it can be assumed that there is a significant loss in elongation % by lapse of about one year of time in yarn storage, (Table 4).

Electrical drying of muga cocoons upto 4h has shown favourable results on reeling performance in terms of raw silk recovery (RSR %) and reeling waste (%). Storage of muga cocoon upto 180 days reduces raw silk recovery (RSR%) and increases the quantity of reeling waste. Yarn quality in terms of tenacity and elongation has not been influenced till six months of

cocoon storage. Electrical hot air stifling of muga cocoon should be commercially adopted on mass scale in muga cocoon growing places.

References

- 1 Marketing Study of Muga & Eri Silk Industry in Assam, *Assam Agricultural Competitiveness Project* (Central Silk Board, Bangalore, India), 2007, 79-92.
- 2 Thangavelu K, Chakraborty AK, Bhagowati AK & Isa M, Muga Silk Reeling, *Handbook of Muga Culture* (Central Silk Board, Bangalore, India), 1988, 89-94.
- 3 Jolly MS, Sen SK, Sonwalkar TN & Prasad GK, *Muga Culture, Non-Mulberry Silks* (FAO, Rome), 1979, P 121- 138.
- 4 Ghosh J & Chakraborty R, Muga Cocoon Dryer –An Easy Stifling Alternative, *Indian Silk* (Central Silk Board, Bangalore, India), 2009, 24-25.
- 5 Mishra SN, Muga Cocoon Stifling: Appropriate Technology, *Indian Silk* (Central Silk Board, Bangalore, India), 2000, 11-17.
- 6 Chowdhury SN, Reeling and Spinning, *Muga Silk Industry* (Directorate of Sericulture, Govt of Assam, India), 1982, 82-103.