

Indian Journal of Geo Marine Sciences Vol. 50 (04), April 2021, pp. 339-342



Short Communication

Seasonal and spatial accumulation of heavy metals in *Cystoseira barbata* C. Agardh 1820 from Northeastern Black Sea coast

M Türkmen* & T Aydin

Giresun University, Faculty of Science and Arts, Department of Biology, 28049, Giresun, Turkey *[E-mail: mturkmen65@hotmail.com]

Received 17 January 2019; revised 15 July 2020

This study is aimed to reveal the heavy metal contamination in brown seaweed species distribute in the Northeastern Black Sea coast. This study provides important preliminary information about heavy metal accumulation in brown seaweed. Indirectly, this also provides information on the environmental pollution in the study area. Heavy metal accumulations observed were Cr: 6.8-34.9 Mn: 32.8-116, Fe: 1721-5685, Co: 0.90-3.12, Ni: 31.8-173, Cu: 6.01-20.3, Zn: 24.3-88.2, Cd: 0.16-0.69 and Pb: 0.84-3.15 mg kg⁻¹. Such studies should be conducted occasionally to update the knowledge on accumulation of heavy metals in marine organisms and to verify the results of this study.

[Keywords: Bio-accumulation, Brown algae, Heavy metals, Northeastern Black Sea coast, Seasonal and spatial variations]

Introduction

Brown alga, Cystoseira barbata, is a marine species living in the upper sub-littoral zone (open and sheltered rocky shores), up to 0.2 m depth in semiclosed areas and coastal lagoons¹. In general, algae are sedentary, easy to collect and identify and are widely distributed in the aquatic environment. The bioaccumulation of trace metals in algae occur in high degrees satisfying all the fundamental requirements of bio-indicators². Therefore algal populations are been extensively used as indicators of water pollution. In fact, algae play an important role in the functioning and ecological balance of all the aquatic ecosystems and they also contribute significantly to the economic activity in several countries across the world³. Owing to the bioaccumulation ability, macro algal species are used all over the world to determine the heavy metal levels in both estuaries and coastal waters. In benthic food webs, macroalgae play a key role and act also as time integrators of pollutants. The inactivity of algal species is another reason why they are widely used as biomonitor species for heavy metal contamination⁴. Several research papers on the heavy metal accumulation in other marine organisms from Black Sea coastal waters have been published in the past⁵⁻¹⁰. However, limited number of studies on heavy metal accumulation in marine algae (especially in recent years on *Cystoseira barbata*) from Black Sea coastal waters has been carried out¹¹⁻¹³. The aim of this study is to investigate the seasonal and spatial accumulation of heavy metals in Brown algae from the coastal waters of Northeastern Black Sea.

Material and Methods

Seaweed specimens were seasonally collected from three stations of Northeastern Black Sea coast, Piraziz, PR (40°57' N, 38°7.0' E), Giresun, GR (40°53' N, 38°20' E) and from Espiye, ES (40°57' N, 38°42' E), Turkey. After collection, specimens were cleaned, washed with distilled water, and were dried at 105 °C for 24 h. After homogenization, the seaweed samples were stored at -18 °C until analysis⁸. All glass and plastic containers used in the analysis were kept in 10 percent HNO₃ for one day and were then washed with pure water. 0.5 g algae of samples were digested in 7 ml HNO₃ and 2 ml H₂O₂ in a microwave device (CEM MARSX, 240/50, USA). The residue was then made up to 50 ml using a volumetric flask with deionized water.

The samples were then filtered using a 0.45 micron filter before being analyzed. Certified fish protein Dorm-4 from the National Research Council, Ontario, Canada was used as the calibration and verification standard. Percent recoveries were 92 for Cd, 119 for Pb, 101 for Cu, 115 for Cr, 95 for Ni and 103 for Zn. The heavy metal contents in each filtered sample were analyzed three times with the ICP-MS device (BRUKER 820-MS, Germany), and the results were expressed as mg kg⁻¹. Detection limits in ppm are 0.002 for Cd, Cr, Ni, Pb and Mn, 0.001 for Co, 0.003 for Cu, 0.04 for Zn and 0.4 for Fe. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan test were applied to test the differences between stations and between seasons (p < 0.05).

Results and Discussion

The seasonal and spatial changes in heavy metal accumulation in the examined seaweed according to

stations are presented at Table 1. The results showed that the differences between the stations and the seasons are statistically significant (p < 0.05). The highest concentrations of metal observed was iron, whereas cadmium showed the lowest level. In terms of seasonal changes, in PR station, Cr, Mn, Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb in winter, Fe and Co in spring, and Ni in summer showed the lowest concentration. However, Mn. Fe. Co. Cu. Zn and Pb in summer. Cr and Ni in winter, and Cd in spring showed the highest values. In GR station, Mn, Co and Cu in winter, Cr and Ni in spring, Cd in summer, and Fe, Zn and Pb in autumn showed the highest values. On the other hand, with the exception Cr and Ni in summer, surprisingly other metals showed higher levels in spring than other season. This situation may arise from heavy rains in spring season. In ES station, Cu and Cd in winter, Cr and Zn in spring, Mn, Fe, Co and Ni in summer and Pb in autumn showed the lowest values. On the contrary, Cr and Ni in winter, Mn, Fe, Pb and Co in spring, Cu in summer, and Zn and Cd in autumn showed the highest values.

In terms of spatial distributions, in winter, Cr, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu and Cd at ES station, Mn and Pb at GR station and Zn at PR station was minimum. On the other hand, Cr, Mn, Fe, Cu, Zn and Pb at PR station, and Ni, Zn and Cd at GR station showed the highest values. In spring, Mn, Fe, Cu, Zn and Pb at PR station, and Cr, Co, Ni and Cd at ES station showed lower values. However, Cr and Ni at PR station, and remaining metals at GR station showed the highest values. The reason for this can be explained as that the pollutants related to Cr and Ni can be more effective at the PR station, whereas at the GR station it can be due to intense population and industrial activities. In summer, Zn and Cd at PR station, Cu at GR station, and remaining metals at ES station showed the lowest values. On the contrary, Mn, Fe, Co and Pb at PR station, Cr, Ni, Zn and Cd at GR station, and Cu at ES station showed the highest values. Finally, in autumn, Zn and Cd at PR station, Mn and Pb at GR station, and Cr, Fe, Co, Cu and Ni at ES station showed the lowest values. On the other hand, Mn, Fe, Co and Pb at PR station, Zn at ES station, and remaining metals at GR station showed the highest levels.

Heavy metal accumulations in *Cystoseira barbata* from the Northeastern Black Sea coast reported in the present study were compared with existing literature (Table 2). It can be seen from Table 2 that, the values

Table 1 — Spatial and seasonal distribution of heavy metals (mg.kg ⁻¹ dry weight)											
Season	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co Ni Cu Zn			Cd	Pb			
Piraziz (PR)											
WN	36.5 ± 0.45^{a}	59.0±1.16 ^{ab}	2490±158 ^a	1.63±0.04 ^a	185±4.55 ^b	7.01±0.06 ^a	25.9±0.58 ^{bc}	0.16 ± 0.01^{a}	$1.58{\pm}0.01^{ab}$		
SP	34.3 ± 0.65^{a}	42.2 ± 2.72^{a}	1931 ± 152^{a}	$1.33{\pm}0.08^{a}$	172 ± 3.09^{ab}	$7.00{\pm}0.47^{a}$	22.8 ± 1.13^{ab}	0.17 ± 0.01^{a}	$1.27{\pm}0.07^{a}$		
SM	35.1 ± 1.76^{a}	80.8 ± 17.9^{b}	6157 ± 1627^{b}	$2.78{\pm}0.54^{a}$	161 ± 4.77^{a}	8.61 ± 0.53^{b}	$28.5 \pm 2.67^{\circ}$	$0.15{\pm}0.01^{a}$	2.16 ± 0.40^{b}		
AT	34.1 ± 0.79^{a}	37.3±1.61 ^a	2196±130 ^a	$1.36{\pm}0.04^{a}$	175 ± 2.32^{b}	$6.10{\pm}0.19^{a}$	20.0 ± 0.79^{a}	$0.14{\pm}0.00^{a}$	1.17 ± 0.11^{a}		
Avg.	34.9 ± 0.5^{y}	54.8 ± 6.4^{x}	3193±627 ^x	1.78 ± 0.2^{xy}	173 ± 3.0^{y}	7.11 ± 0.3^{y}	24.3 ± 1.2^{x}	$0.16 \pm < 0.01^{x}$	1.51 ± 0.1^{x}		
Giresun (GR)											
WN	35.9 ± 0.42^{a}	26.2 ± 2.18^{a}	1649 ± 60.0^{a}	$1.28{\pm}0.05^{a}$	186 ± 2.72^{a}	5.63 ± 0.50^{a}	35.9 ± 2.32^{a}	$0.24{\pm}0.02^{a}$	0.63 ± 0.05^{a}		
SP	25.7±4.33 ^b	340 ± 154^{b}	12918±5681 ^b	6.83 ± 2.86^{b}	86.5±13.5 ^b	43.6±19.3 ^b	212±98.5 ^b	1.71 ± 0.78^{b}	6.89 ± 3.00^{b}		
SM	39.1 ± 0.89^{a}	55.1 ± 2.13^{a}	1819 ± 41.4^{a}	1.51 ± 0.03^{a}	199 ± 14.7^{a}	8.01 ± 0.24^{a}	40.0 ± 0.48^{a}	0.20 ± 0.01^{a}	1.13 ± 0.03^{a}		
AT	35.4 ± 0.41^{a}	28.4 ± 0.32^{a}	1529 ± 14.6^{a}	1.30 ± 0.01^{a}	185 ± 2.33^{a}	7.65 ± 0.12^{a}	29.9 ± 3.26^{a}	$0.28{\pm}0.00^{a}$	0.62 ± 0.01^{a}		
Avg.	34.0±1.7 ^y	113±51.7 ^x	4479±1904 ^x	2.70 ± 0.9^{y}	164±16.5 ^y	16.2 ± 6.3^{y}	79.5 ± 31.2^{y}	$0.60 {\pm} 0.2^{y}$	2.31 ± 1.0^{x}		
Espiye (ES)											
WN	22.9 ± 10.6^{a}	43.7 ± 2.17^{a}	1499±65.5 ^a	1.12 ± 0.18^{bc}	118 ± 56.9^{a}	5.43 ± 0.45^{a}	29.9 ± 1.07^{a}	0.15 ± 0.01^{a}	1.20 ± 0.22^{b}		
SP	0.92 ± 0.06^{b}	115 ± 9.52^{b}	2025 ± 170^{b}	$1.20\pm0.08^{\circ}$	2.45 ± 0.59^{b}	10.1 ± 0.62^{b}	29.8 ± 0.77^{a}	$0.17 {\pm} 0.00^{ab}$	1.29 ± 0.06^{b}		
SM	1.38 ± 0.04^{b}	$28.2{\pm}1.19^{a}$	1169±67.1 ^a	0.76 ± 0.08^{a}	1.92 ± 0.25^{b}	12.7±0.68°	32.9±0.91 ^{ab}	0.19 ± 0.01^{bc}	0.78 ± 0.05^{a}		
AT	1.94 ± 0.02^{b}	$32.9{\pm}1.58^{a}$	1440 ± 109^{a}	0.79 ± 0.04^{ab}	4.60 ± 0.27^{b}	5.62 ± 0.52^{a}	34.8 ± 1.81^{b}	$0.22 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	0.72 ± 0.03^{a}		
Avg.	6.81±3.6 ^x	55.0±10.8 ^x	1578 ± 125^{x}	0.90 ± 0.07^{x}	31.8±19.3 ^x	8.40±0.9 ^x	31.8 ± 0.8^{xy}	0.18±<0.01	1.0 ± 0.1^{x}		
				Seasonal	Average						
WN	31.7 ± 3.76^{x}	42.9 ± 4.84^{x}	1879±163 ^x	$1.35{\pm}0.1^{x}$	163 ± 19.9^{x}	6.01 ± 0.3^{x}	$30.6{\pm}1.5^{x}$	$0.18{\pm}0.02^{x}$	$1.14{\pm}0.15^{x}$		
SP	20.2 ± 5.14^{x}	166±63.4 ^y	5685 ± 2442^{x}	$3.12{\pm}1.2^{x}$	87.0 ± 27.5^{x}	20.3 ± 8.0^{y}	88.2 ± 42.0^{x}	0.69 ± 0.3^{x}	3.15 ± 1.27^{y}		
SM	25.2 ± 6.0^{x}	54.7 ± 9.2^{x}	3048±913 ^y	1.68 ± 0.3^{x}	121 ± 30.3^{x}	9.79 ± 0.7^{xy}	$33.8{\pm}1.8^{x}$	0.18 ± 0.1^{x}	1.36 ± 0.24^{xy}		
AT	23.8 ± 5.48^{x}	$32.8{\pm}1.4^{x}$	1721 ± 129^{x}	1.15 ± 0.1^{x}	122 ± 29.3^{x}	6.52 ± 0.3^{x}	$28.3{\pm}2.4^{x}$	0.22 ± 0.02^{x}	$0.84{\pm}0.09^{x}$		
*WN: Winter, SP: Spring, SM: Summer, AT: Autumn; ** Vertically, letters a , b and c or x , y and z show statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$)											

Table 2 — Comparison of the results of this study with the data in the literature											
	Heavy metal contaminations (mg.kg ⁻¹)										
Studies	Cd	Co	Cr	Cu	Fe	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn		
This study	0.14-1.71	0.76-6.83	0.92-39.1	5.43-12.7	1169-12918	26.2-340	1.92-199	0.62-6.89	20-212		
Khaled et al. ² (Mediterranean Sea)	0.34-0.83	-	-	3.26-19.3	72.4-468	-	5.41-8.79	16.3-19.8	25.7-30.9		
Topçuoğlu et al.4 (Black Sea coast)	< 0.02.0.78	< 0.05-1.78	< 0.06-1.2	1.7-6	130-593	6.7-33.5	< 0.1-9.1	< 0.1-3.5	6.5-192		
Tüzen et al.7 (Black Sea coast)	< 0.01	0.09	0.99	2.47	242	14.9	2.05	0.0046	6.62		
Güven et al.11 (Black Sea coast)	1.08-1.3	0.47-1.09	< 1-3.7	< 1-12.3	< 100-604	-	-	5.3-8.3	< 7-12.1		
Topçuoğlu et al.12 (Black Sea coast)	< 0.02-6.41	< 0.05-2.08	< 0.06-7.76	7.33-12.7	310-869	19.4-79.9	8.2-10.7	< 0.05	44.3-111		
Kut et al. ¹⁴ (Bosphorus)	0.55-1.38	2.0-3.59	< 0.1-~0.3	4.60-5.15	210-615	-	-	4.2-7.5	12.4-35.6		
Swadis et al.15 (Aegean Sea)	0.4-2.7	-	-	0.7-8.8	-	5.6-181	4.3-28.5	0.02-2.5	8.8-58.1		
Aydın-Önen & Öztürk ¹⁶ (Aegean Sea)	0.03-7.23	-	-	0.21-6.64	499-6288	22.4-391	0.90-33.0	1.5-62.1	80.3-295		

reported for, cobalt (0.47-1.09), Cr (< 1-3.7), Cu (1-12.3), Fe (< 100-604) and Zn (< 7-12.1) concentrations in C. barbata from Black Sea coast, Turkey are lower than present study, but for Cd (1.08-1.3) and Pb (5.3-8.3) are higher¹¹. Cobalt level reported from Bosphorus was similar to present study; Cd (0.55-1.38) and Pb (4.2-7.5) were higher; and others were lower than present study¹⁴. When compared with this study, the levels of the heavy metals reported in the Aegean Sea are similar in terms of Mn, Pb and Zn, higher in Cd (0.4-2.7), and lower in Cu (0.7-8.8) and Ni (4.3-28.5)¹⁵. Concentrations reported earlier from Black Sea coast, Turkey were higher for Cd and Zn; and the rest were lower than current results¹². Cadmium level (< 0.02-0.78) reported earlier by Topcuoğlu et al.⁴ from Black Sea coast was lower; Zn (6.5-192) was higher; the rest were lower than the current results, whereas; all metal levels reported from Black Sea by Tüzen⁷ were lower than the current results. Cadmium concentration reported from Mediterranean Sea was similar; Pb level was higher; and the rests were lower than present study². Similarly iron level reported from Aegean Sea was similar; Cu and Ni were lower; and the rests were greater than current results 16 .

Conclusions

This study provides important information about the heavy metal content in brown seaweed from Northeastern Black Sea coast and also reveals clues about environmental contamination. These results will help us determine the chemical quality of brown seaweed. On the other hand, it will also enable us to understand the possible risks to the consumption of such herbivorous organisms and ecosystem health. Differences between seasons at same and within stations were found significant. Comparative analysis between the present study and the studies performed in Black Sea earlier revealed that it may be said that the concentrations of all studied metals with the exception of Cd and Zn have been increased since 1998. On the other hand, in the future, the accumulation of cadmium, zinc and remaining heavy metals in *C. barbata* can pose a possible risk for the consumption of herbivorous organisms, if agricultural, shipping, industrial and recreational practices in the surrounding Black Sea region are not controlled.

341

Acknowledgements

The authors thanks to Giresun University for financially supporting this study (FEN-BAP-C-250414-29).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Author Contributions

MT: Study design, data processing and analysis, draft preparation, editing, review, funding acquisition and supervising of study, and submission to the journal and follow up to the printing stage; and TA: Study design, participation in field studies, data processing and analysis, draft preparation and editing.

References

- Berov D, Deyanova D, Klayn S & Karamfilov V, Distribution, structure and state of seagrass habitats in the SW Black Sea (Burgas Bay, Bulgaria), In: Proceedings of the 4th Mediterranean Seagrass Workshop, Sardinia, Oristano, Italy, 2015, pp. 52.
- 2 Khaled A, Hessein A, Abdel-Halim A M & Morsey F M, Distribution of heavy metals in seaweeds collected along Marsa-Matrouh beaches, Egyptian Mediterranean Sea, Egypt, J Aquat Res, 40 (2014) 363-371. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ejar.2014.11.007
- 3 Güner A, Köksal Ç, Erel Ş B, Kayalar H, Nalbantsoy A, et al., Antimicrobial and antioxidant activities with acute toxicity, cytotoxicity and mutagenicity of *Cystoseira compressa* (Esper) Gerloff & Nizamuddin from the coast of

Urla (Izmir, Turkey), *Cytotechnology*, 67 (2015) 135–143. DOI: 10.1007/s10616-013-9668-x

- 4 Topçuoğlu S, Güven K C, Balkıs N & Kırbaşoğlu Ç, Heavy metal monitoring of marine algae from the Turkish Coast of the Black Sea, 1998–2000, *Chemosphere*, 52 (2003) 1683-1688. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(03)00301-1
- 5 Tüzen M, Determination of heavy metals in fish samples of the middle Black Sea (Turkey) by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry, *Food Chem*, 27 (2003) 521–526. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(02)00264-9.
- 6 Uluözlü O D, Tüzen M, Mendil D & Soylak M, Trace metal content in nine species of fish from the Black and Aegean Seas, Turkey, *Food Chem*, 104 (2007) 835-840. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.01.003
- 7 Tüzen M, Toxic and essential trace elemental contents in fish species from the Black Sea, Turkey, *Food Chem Toxicol*, 47 (2009) 1785-1790. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2009.04.029.
- 8 Türkmen M & Dura N, Assessment of Heavy Metal Concentrations in Fish from South Western Black Sea, *Indian J Geo-Mar Sci*, 45 (2016) 1552-1559.
- 9 Türkmen M & Akaydin A, Metal Levels in Tissues of Commercially Important Fish Species from Southeastern Black Sea Coasts, *Indian J Geo-Mar Sci*, 46 (2017) 2357-2360.
- 10 Ateş A, Türkmen M & Tepe Y, Assessment of Heavy Metals in Fourteen Marine Fish Species of Four Turkish Seas, *Indian J Geo-Mar Sci*, 44 (2015) 49-55.

- 11 Güven K S, Okuş E, Topcuoğlu S, Esen N, Küçükcezzar R, et al., Heavy metal accumulation in algae and sediments of the Black Sea coast of Turkey, *Toxicol Environ Chem*, 67 (1998) 435-440. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 02772249809358633.
- 12 Topcuoğlu S, Kırbaşoğlu Ç & Güngör N, Heavy metals in organisms and sediments from Turkish Coast of the Black Sea, 1997-1998, *Environ Int*, 1069 (2002) 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120(01)00099-X.
- 13 Tüzen M, Verep B, Öğretmen A Ö & Soylak M, Trace element content in marine algae species from the Black Sea, Turkey, *Environ Monit Assess*, 151 (2009) 363-368. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-008-0277-7.
- 14 Kut D, Topçuoğlu S, Esen N, Küçükcezzar R & Güven K C, Trace metals in marine algae and sediment samples from the Bosphorus, *Water Air Soil Pollut*, 118 (2000) 27-33. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005149500870.
- 15 Swadis T, Brown M T, Zachariadis G & Sratis I, Trace metal concentrations in marine macroalgae from different biotopes in the Aegean Sea, *Environ Int*, 27 (2001) 43-47. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120(01)00052-6.
- 16 Aydın-Önen S & Öztürk M, Investigation of heavy metal pollution in eastern Aegean Sea coastal waters by using *Cystoseira barbata, Patella caerulea*, and *Liza aurata* as biological indicators, *Environ Sci Pollut Res*, 24 (2017) 7310-7334. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-8226-4.