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#### Abstract

In this study, the structure of three 5-(4-subs/3, 4- di substituted benzylidene) thiazolidine-2,4-diones has been studied by using density functional theory (DFT) at $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level basis set. Detailed interpretations of the vibrational spectra of these compounds have been made on the basis of the calculated potential energy distribution (PED). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra have been recorded and the chemical shifts have been calculated using the gauge- independent atomic orbital method (GIAO). The values of frontier orbital energy gap ( -3.64 eV ) and dipole moment ( 4.7012 D ) show that the compound 3 b is less reactive and less polar, hence the most stable among the three molecules. The significantly higher value $\left(131.96 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{esu}\right)$ of total hyperpolarizability for compound 3 c indicates the better use of this molecule as NLO material. The calculated local reactivity descriptors for $3(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c})$ indicate that C 2 is the most reactive site for nucleophilic attack whereas the oxygen attached to this C is more prone to electrophilic attack. Hyperconjugative interactions have been studied with the help of natural bond orbital analysis. The electronic properties and thermodynamic properties have also been performed.
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## 1 Introduction

Thiazolidinediones (TZDs), also referred to as glitazones, act as a potent antidiabetic agents targeting nuclear receptor, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- $\gamma$ ( $\operatorname{PPAR} \gamma$ ), as potent inhibitors of $\operatorname{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \gamma^{1}$, Pim kinase family ${ }^{2}$, and HIV-1 entry protein gp $41^{3}$ also exhibiting their versatile roles in multiple indications spanning from inflammatory diseases to cancers. FDA approved several TZDs for treating type 2 diabetes ${ }^{4}$ and has a weaker influence on vulcanizing rate ${ }^{5}$. Improvement in the qualities of photosensitizing composition has been reported by the addition of thiazolidine-2,4-dione and some of their derivatives to photosensitizing compositions ${ }^{6,7}$. These are also small molecule inhibitors of numerous biological targets including aldose reductase ${ }^{8}$, 15 hydroxyprostaglandin dehydrogenase ${ }^{9}$ and enzyme MurD ligase ${ }^{10}$. Furthermore, TZDs showed various biological activities such as antihyperglycemic ${ }^{11}$, bactericidal ${ }^{12}$, pesticidal ${ }^{13}$, fungicidal ${ }^{14}$, insecticidal ${ }^{15}$, anticonvulsant ${ }^{16}$, tuberculostatic ${ }^{17}$ and antiinflammatory ${ }^{18}$.

The present paper deals with the detailed spectroscopic analysis, non linear optical properties

[^0](NLO), thermodynamic properties, Molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) and chemical reactivities of some of the 5 -(4-subs $/ 3,4-$ di substituted benzylidene)thiazolidine-2,4-diones by quantum chemical calculations. The redistribution of electron density (ED) in various bonding and antibonding orbitals and $\mathrm{E}(2)$ energies were calculated by natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis to give clear evidence of stabilization originating from the hyperconjugation of various intra-molecular interactions. Detailed interpretations of the vibrational spectra of the molecules have been made, based on the calculated potential energy distribution ${ }^{19}$ (PED).

## 2 Materials and Method

The FTIR spectrum was carried out between $4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ on Bruker Tensor -27 FTIR spectrometer using the KBr pellet technique. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance) spectra were recorded on Bruker 300 MHz instrument using DMSO as a solvent. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (p.p.m.) using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. The positive electron spray ionization (ESI) high resolution mass spectrometry of compound 3c was recorded on Agilent $6520(\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{TOF})$ mass spectrometer and the

DART-MS of compound 3 a and 3 b was recorded on JEOL-AccuTOF JMS-T1100LC Mass spectrometer having a DART (Direct Analysis in Real Time) source. The sample was subjected as such in front of DART source. Dry Helium was used with 4LPM flow rate for ionization at $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ultra violet (UV) spectra were recorded on UV- visible double-beam spectrophotometer (systronic-2203) instrument using ethanol for compound 3c and DMSO as a solvent for compound 3 a and 3 b . Melting points were determined in a melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Potential energy distribution along with internal coordinates is calculated by Gar2ped software ${ }^{20}$. All solvents used were of laboratory grade and were purified and dried according to standard procedures prior to their use. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) on Silica Gel coated plates were used for monitoring the progress of reaction and purity of the compounds and were visualized in an iodine chamber.
2.1 Synthesis of 5-(3-hydroxy, 4-methoxy /4-methoxy /4-N,Ndimethylbenzylidene) thiazolidine-2,4-diones (3a-c)

The synthetic route for compounds (3a-c) is illustrated in Scheme 1. Piperidine was added to a suspension of thiazolidine- 2,4-dione(1) and substituted benzaldehyde (2) in EtOH , and the reaction mixture was refluxed for about $5-8 \mathrm{~h}$. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. The precipitate, separated on cooling the reaction mixture, was filtered, washed with water and recrystallised with ethanol ${ }^{21}$.

## 3 Computational Methods

Quantum chemical calculations for all the compounds were carried out with 3-parameter hybrid functional ${ }^{22,23}$ (B3) for exchange part and the Lee-Yang-Parr ${ }^{24}$ (LYP) correlation function, with 6$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ as the basis set $\mathrm{using}^{25}$ the GAUSSIAN 09 suite of program and results were analyzed with the Gaussview 5.0 molecular visualization program ${ }^{26}$.

The assignments of the calculated normal modes have been made on the basis of the corresponding PEDs.

## 4 Results and Discussion

### 4.1 Molecular geometry

The optimized structure along with the atoms numbering scheme of compounds $3(a-c)$ at DFT/B3LYP level using the $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set are shown in Fig. 1. The C-H/aromatic C-C bond lengths vary in the range $1.080 \AA-1.096 \AA / 1.383 \AA-1.417 \AA /$, $1.084 \AA-1.096 \AA / 1.380 \AA-1.417 \AA$ and $1.081 \AA-1.097$ $\AA / 1.381 \AA-1.418 \AA$ (standard value $1.10 \AA / 1.40 \AA$ ), respectively, in 3a, 3b and 3c. The alkenic / thiazole C-C bond lengths have been found at 1.361/1.486 $\AA$, $13.616 / 1.4822 \AA, 1.364 / 1.4775 \AA$ in $3 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{~b}$ and 3 c , respectively. The $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths ( C is directly attached to C and N )/ $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ( C is directly attached with N and S ) for three molecules are calculated to be $1.221 \AA / 1.206 \AA, 1.221 \AA / 1.206 \AA$ and 1.2231 $\AA / 1.207 \AA$, respectively, which are close to the standard range ${ }^{27} 1.196$ to $1.211 \AA$. The C-O bond length is found to be larger than usual $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length ${ }^{28}$ due to the shifting of electron cloud from C atom to O atom for $3 \mathrm{a}(1.2231 \AA)$. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond lengths are found to be very close to the C-S bond distance ${ }^{29}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond distance and are 1.798/1.012, 1.798/1.012, 1.800/1.012 A respectively for $3 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{~b}$ and 3 c . In general optimized parameters agree very well with the available experimental XRD data ${ }^{30-34}$.

## 4.2 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ spectroscopy

For the structural analysis of organic compounds, chemical shift analysis is one of the most important techniques. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts calculations of optimized molecules were carried out by using GIAO method and B3LYP functional with $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis sets. The experimental and calculated values of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts are given in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively.


Scheme-1


Fig. 1 - Optimized geometries of the compounds 3(a-c) using B3LYP/6-31G (d, p) level of theory.

| Table 1 - Experimental and calculated ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shifts for compounds 3(a-c) using B3LYP with 6-31G/(d, p)basis set. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atom No (3a) | B3LYP | Experimental | Atom No (3b) | B3LYP | Experimental | Atom No (3c) | B3LYP | Experimental |
| H17 | 6.70 | 7.62 | H6 | 7.60 | 12.47 | H18 | 6.93 | 3.34 |
| H18 | 6.80 | 7.02 | H14 | 7.68 | 7.05 | H19 | 6.57 | 7.65 |
| H19 | 9.56 | 7.08 | H17 | 9.99 | 7.08 | H22 | 9.80 | 7.39-7.42 |
| H20 | 6.98 | 7.04 | H15 | 7.40 | 7.51 | H20 | 7.19 |  |
| H21 | 3.87 | 3.82 | H16 | 7.58 | 7.54 | H21 | 6.69 | 6.78-6.81 |
| H22 | 4.21 | 3.82 | H18 | 7.56 | 7.72 | H23 | 6.72 |  |
| H23 | 3.87 | 3.82 | H23 | 4.38 | 3.81 | H24 | 3.26 | 3.006 |
| H24 | 5.53 | 9.48 | H24 | 4.64 | 3.81 | H25 | 3.27 | 3.006 |
| H25 | 7.04 | 12.45 | H25 | 4.38 | 3.81 | H26 | 2.85 | 3.006 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | H27 | 3.25 | 3.006 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | H28 | 2.85 | 3.006 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | H29 | 3.25 | 3.006 |

Table 2 — Experimental and calculated ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts for compounds $3(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c})$ using B3LYP with 6-31G/(d, p)basis set.

| Atom No (3a) | B3LYP | Experimental | Atom No (3b) | B3LYP | Experimental | Atom No (3C) | B3LYP | Experimental |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | 125.65 | 115.94 | C1 | 159.93 | 120.27 | C1 | 120.06 | 115.67 |
| C2 | 160.03 | 167.44 | C2 | 160.39 | 167.92 | C2 | 159.53 | 167.56 |
| C3 | 164.94 | 168.08 | C3 | 160.09 | 167.41 | C3 | 165.18 | 168.18 |
| C4 | 142.16 | 132.29 | C7 | 145.91 | 131.79 | C8 | 141.56 | 132.93 |
| C5 | 125.95 | 125.7 | C8 | 138.39 | 125.47 | C9 | 121.12 | 119.81 |
| C6 | 119.82 | 120.43 | C9 | 145.53 | 132.04 | C10 | 136.88 | 132.14 |
| C7 | 124.08 | 123.47 | C10 | 145.76 | 132.04 | C11 | 109.79 | 112.04 |
| C8 | 143.74 | 146.96 | C11 | 121.01 | 114.86 | C12 | 131.78 | 132.14 |
| C9 | 109.81 | 112.41 | C12 | 128.36 | 114.86 | C13 | 110.42 | 112.04 |
| C10 | 148.73 | 150.05 | C13 | 175.16 | 160.95 | C14 | 148.08 | 151.45 |
| C26 | 56.65 | 55.68 | C22 | 59.37 | 55.44 | C16 | 43.36 | 40.34 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C17 | 43.49 | 40.34 |



Fig. 2 - Correlation graph between experimental and calculated ${ }^{1}$ HNMR chemical shift for compounds 3(a-c) using B3LYP /631G (d, p).

Agreement between theory and experiment is inconsistent: sometimes good, sometimes poor. Both, experimental and theoretical results are in agreement except for the proton of the NH group in case of ${ }^{1}$ HNMR of compound ${ }^{35} 3 \mathrm{c}$. The correlation graphs between the experimental and calculated chemical shifts for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, respectively.

### 4.3 UV-Visible absorption spectroscopy

The electronic spectrum was recorded within the range 190-600 nm in DMSO for compounds 3a and


Fig. 3 - Correlation graph between experimental and calculated ${ }^{13}$ CNMR chemical shift for compounds 3(a-c) using B3LYP with the basis set $631-\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$.
$3 b$ and in ethanol for compound 3c. Electronic absorption wavelengths, excitation energies, oscillator strengths were calculated by the TD (DFT)/B3LYP/631G (d,p) level and solvent effect has been taken into consideration by implementing Integral Equation Formalism Polarizable Continuum Model (IEFPCM) are listed in Table 3. The calculated values correspond well with the experimental data. Numerous applications for the use of the HOMO-LUMO gap as a quantum descriptor in establishing correlation in various chemical and biological systems are available. Calculations obtained by B3LYP functional are in good agreement with the measured experimental data.

Table 3 - Experimental and theoretical absorption wavelength $\lambda(\mathrm{nm})$, excitation energies $E(\mathrm{eV})$ of title compounds 3(a-c) using B3LYP functional and $6-31 \mathrm{G} /(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set.

|  | Electronic transitions <br> (molecular orbitals <br> involved) | Energy <br> (ev) | Calculated $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ <br> (in nm) | Oscillatory <br> strength $(f)$ | Percent contribution <br> of probable transition <br> B3LYP | Observed $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ <br> (in nm) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound 3a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (H-1)-L | 4.275 | 390.41 | 0.4919 | 1.337 | 396 |
| Compound 3b | H-L | 3.5072 |  |  | 48.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 49.85 | 352 |
| Compound 3c | H-L | 3.3537 | 369.69 | 0.7655 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 50.01 | 378 |
|  | H-L | 3.21 | 410.7 | 0.9031 | 1.6 | 242 |

All these transitions appear to be due to $\pi \pi^{*}$ transition. The calculated frontier orbital gaps for $3 \mathrm{a} /$ $3 \mathrm{~b} / 3 \mathrm{c}$ are $3.5072 / 3.6334 / 3.217 \mathrm{eV}$ respectively. 3c having low value of frontier orbital gap is highly polarizable and has high chemical reactivity, low kinetic stability and could be termed as soft molecule ${ }^{36}$. Molecular orbitals of compounds and their electronic transitions are shown in Fig. 4(a-c), exhibiting the distributions and energy levels. Figure 4(a) shows that HOMO-1 is delocalized over the whole of the molecule except NH and $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ group, LUMO is delocalized over whole of the molecule except $\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{S}$ atom and the methyl group of methoxy moiety. Figure 4(b) shows that HOMO is delocalized over whole of the molecule except methyl group of methoxy moiety and LUMO is delocalized over whole of the molecule except NH group. Figure 4(c) shows that HOMO is localized over whole molecule except the NH group of thiazolidinone moiety, HOMO - 5 is delocalized only on thiazolidinone ring and LUMO is localized over whole of the molecule except S atom and methyl group of dimethylamino moiety, showing the chemical reactivity and occurrence of eventual charge transfer within the molecule.

### 4.4 Vibrational assignment

Since the compounds $3 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{~b}$ and 3 c consist of 26 , 25 and 29 atoms, respectively, therefore they have 72 , 69 and 81 fundamental vibrations. Since the computations were performed for isolated molecules in the gas phase and the measurements were recorded in the solid phase, the calculated harmonic vibrational wavenumbers become greater than the observed vibrational wavenumbers due to the states such as negligence of anharmonicity, electron correlation and basis set deficiencies ${ }^{37,38}$. For this reason, in order to compare the calculated and observed vibrational wavenumbers, vibrational frequencies calculated at


Fig. 4 - (a) Molecular orbitals of the compound 3a at the B3LYP/ $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set, (b) molecular orbitals of the compound 3 b at the B3LYP/ $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set and (c) molecular orbitals of the compound 3c at the B3LYP/ 6-31G (d, p) basis set.

B3LYP/6-31G ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}$ ) level were scaled by the typical factor ${ }^{39} 0.9679$. DFT based calculations are a good source for understanding the energy distribution of each vibrational mode on the basis of potential energy distribution (PED) and lead to an additional interpretation of the vibrational spectroscopic data for molecules ${ }^{40-43}$. The recorded (FTIR) and computed vibrational wavenumbers by B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), IR activities along with the assignments of vibrational modes of compound based on PED results are given in Table 4(a-c).

Table 4(a) - The recorded (FTIR) and computed vibrational wavenumbers by B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), IR activities along with the assignments of vibrational modes of compound 3a based on PED results.

Theoretical wavenumber

|  | Unscaled | Scaled | Experimental | $I_{\text {IR }}(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{mol})$ | Vibrational assignment (PED in \%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 28 | 678.58 | 656.7976 | 630.7 | 66.66 | $v(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{S} 12)(42),. \beta(\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{O} 14-\mathrm{C} 3)(15),. \beta(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 13-\mathrm{C} 2)(11)$ |
| 41 | 1140.08 | 1103.483 | 1016.45 | 861.77 | $v(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 11)(25),.-v(\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 11)(18),-$. |
| 43 | 1175.25 | 1137.524 | 1134.10 | 1.17 | $\beta(\mathrm{H} 21-\mathrm{O} 16-\mathrm{C} 26)(70),. \beta(\mathrm{H} 22-\mathrm{O} 16-\mathrm{C} 26)(24),$. |
| 48 | 1297.79 | 1256.131 | 1213.18 | 974.5 | $v(\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{O} 16)(17),.-\beta(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 13-\mathrm{C} 2)(13),$. |
| 49 | 1307.82 | 1265.839 | 1244.04 | 225.48 | $v(\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{O} 15)(12),$. |
| 51 | 1377.74 | 1333.515 | 1363.62 | 79.3 | $v(\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7)(22),$. |
| 56 | 1497.96 | 1449.875 | 1438.84 | 1.48 | $\beta(\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{H} 19-\mathrm{C} 7)(18),.-v(\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 9)(15),. v(\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7)(14),$. |
| 58 | 1555.66 | 1505.723 | 1460.06 | 519.42 | $\beta(\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{H} 20-\mathrm{C} 9)(18),.-v(\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 10)(13),.-\beta(\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 18-\mathrm{C} 6)(12$ |
| 59 | 1600.42 | 1549.047 | 1510.21 | 452.7 | $v(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)(25),.-v(\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5)(11$ |
| 60 | 1629.62 | 1577.309 | 1587.36 | 80.73 | $v(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)(14),. v(\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10)(13)$ |
| 62 | 1753.6 | 1697.309 | 1691.51 | 749.45 | $v(\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O} 13)(52),.-\beta(\mathrm{S} 12-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1)(16),.-v(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{O} 14)(11),$. |
| 63 | 1801.3 | 1743.478 | 1733.94 | 1016.08 | $v(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{O} 14)(67),. v(\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O} 13)(12),$. |
| 64 | 3044.33 | 2946.607 | 2783.18 | 87.19 | $v(\mathrm{H} 23-\mathrm{C} 26)(44),. v(\mathrm{H} 21-\mathrm{C} 26)(44),$. |
| 65 | 3117.79 | 3017.709 |  | 37.62 | $v(\mathrm{H} 21-\mathrm{C} 26)(50),.-v(\mathrm{H} 23-\mathrm{C} 26)(50),$. |
| 66 | 3145.84 | 3044.859 | 3024.27 | 11.38 | $v(\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 17)(99),$. |
| 70 | 3261.41 | 3156.719 | 3126.5 | 45.95 | $v(\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{H} 19)(97),$. |
| 71 | 3609.96 | 3494.08 | 3435.1 | 159.62 | $v(\mathrm{~N} 11-\mathrm{H} 25)(99),$. |
| 72 | 3755.06 | 3634.523 | 3531.53 | 176.03 | $v(\mathrm{O} 15-\mathrm{H} 24)(100),$. |

Table 4(b) - The recorded (FTIR) and computed vibrational wavenumbers by B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), IR activities along with the assignments of vibrational modes of compound $3 b$ based on PED results.
Theoretical wavenumber

| Mode | Unscaled | Scaled | Experimental | $I_{\mathrm{IR}}(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{mol})$ | Vibrational assignment (PED in \%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 32 | 860.16 | 832.5489 | 831 | 13.23 | $v(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{S} 5)(11),.-\beta(\mathrm{S} 5-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1) 11.), \beta(\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 8) 11),$. |
| 38 | 1070.15 | 1035.798 | 1014.52 | 67.57 | $v(\mathrm{O} 21-\mathrm{C} 22)(75),$. |
| 39 | 1142.36 | 1105.69 | 1182.32 | 270.83 | $v(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 4)(32),.-v(\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 4)(18),$. |
| 44 | 1248.89 | 1208.801 | 1419.55 | 38.21 | $v(\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8)(23),.-v(\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 11)(13),$. |
| 48 | 1364.77 | 1320.961 | 1454.27 | 66.28 | $v(\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 10)(21),. v(\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 13)(13),.-v(\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9)(10),$. |
| 51 | 1476.16 | 1428.775 | 1508.28 | 46.62 | $v(\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 12)(23),.-v(\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 11)(17),$. |
| 55 | 1556.47 | 1506.507 | 1593.14 | 120.27 | $\beta(\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H} 14-\mathrm{C} 9) 17.), v(\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13)(12),. \beta(\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H} 17-\mathrm{C} 10) 10)$. |
| 56 | 1602.42 | 1550.982 | 1593.14 | 34.53 | $v(\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 13)(15),.-v(\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 10)(15),.-v(\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13)(14),$. |
|  |  |  |  |  | $v(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 7)(12),$. |
| 59 | 1782.88 | 1725.65 | 1701.15 | 245.8 | $v(\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O} 20)(63),.-\beta(\mathrm{S} 5-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1)(14),$. |
| 60 | 1843.31 | 1784.14 | 1735.87 | 727.05 | $v(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{O} 19)(78),$. |
| 61 | 3026.05 | 2928.914 | 2854.54 | 63.59 | $v(\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{H} 23)(45),. v(\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{H} 25)(45),. v(\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{H} 24)(10),$. |
| 63 | 3135.02 | 3034.386 | 3012.7 | 6.5 | $v(\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{H} 18)(99),$. |
| 64 | 3157.85 | 3056.483 |  | 24.42 | $v(\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{H} 24)(90),.-v(\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{H} 25)(5),$. |
| 65 | 3183.36 | 3081.174 | 3234.51 | 9.63 | $v(\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{H} 14)(93),.-v(\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{H} 15)(6),$. |
| 66 | 3217.2 | 3113.928 | 3234.51 | 7.51 | $v(\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{H} 15)(93),. v(\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{H} 14)(5),$. |
| 67 | 3223.02 | 3119.561 | 3234.51 | 8.97 | $v(\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{H} 16)(95),.-v(\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{H} 17)(3),$. |
| 68 | 3250.74 | 3146.391 | 3234.51 | 29 | $v(\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{H} 17)(96),. v(\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{H} 16)(3),$. |
| 69 | 3624.11 | 3507.776 | 3427.38 | 91.67 | $v(\mathrm{~N} 4-\mathrm{H} 6)(99),$. |

Proposed assignment and potential energy distribution (PED) for vibrational modes: types of vibrations: $v$ - symmetric stretching; vas asymmetric stretching; $\beta$ - in-plane bending; $\beta$ asy - asymmetric in-plane- bending; $\pi$ - out-of-plane bending; $\delta$ - linear bend; $\tau$ - torsio casy - asymmetric torsion

Table 4(c) - The recorded (FTIR) and computed vibrational wavenumbers by B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), IR activities along with the assignments of vibrational modes of compound 3c based on PED results.


Proposed assignment and potential energy distribution (PED) for vibrational modes: types of vibrations: $v$ - symmetric stretching; vasyasymmetric stretching; $\beta$ - in-plane bending; $\beta$ asy - asymmetric in-plane- bending; $\pi$ - out-of-plane bending; $\delta$ - linear bend; $\tau$ - torsion ; casy - asymmetric torsion

The correlation coefficient values $\left(R^{2}=0.997\right.$, 0.9844 and 0.997 for compounds $3 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{~b}$ and 3 c ) showed that there is good agreement among the scaled values of the computed harmonic frequencies and the observed ones. The correlation graph is shown in Fig. 5. The experimental and theoretical IR spectra for compounds 3(a-c) are given Fig. 6.

### 4.4.1 OH Vibrations

The strong band calculated at $3531.53 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in IR spectra of 3 a shows the presence of OH group. These bands contribute $100 \%$ to the tota ${ }^{44}$ PED
(experimental value $3634.52 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). The small discrepancy between the calculated and the observed wavenumber may be due to the intramolecular hydrogen bond interaction between O16.... H 25 as predicted by AIM (shown in Fig. 9).

### 4.4.2 N-H Vibrations

For heterocyclic molecules, the position of N-H stretching band depends upon the degree of hydrogen bonding and is observed in the range ${ }^{45}$ of 3500 $3200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The N-H stretching is calculated at $3494.08 / 3507.77 / 3495.04 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $3 \mathrm{a} / 3 \mathrm{~b} / 3 \mathrm{c}$ while the


Fig. 5 - Correlation graph between experimental and calculated wavenumbers using B3LYP /6-31G (d, p) for compound 3a, 3b and 3c.
corresponding observed mode is at 3435.10 / 3427.38 / $3498.75 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 4.4.3 Phenyl ring vibration

The aromatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching vibrations are a collection of weak-to-moderate bands in the region ${ }^{46}$ between 3100 and $3000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. A strong band at 3126.5, 3234.51 and $3030.06 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in FTIR of compounds $3 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{~b}$ and 3 c are assigned to aromatic $=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ ring stretching vibrations, these bands show best agreement with the predicted values at 3156.71 , 3146.39 and $3079.86 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for compounds $3 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{~b}$ and 3 c , respectively. A Band at $3024.27,3012.7$ and $3001.13 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in FTIR spectra of compounds is assigned to alkenic $=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ ring stretching vibrations, and are in best agreement with the predicted values at
3044.85, 3034.38 and $3039.01 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In aromatic compounds, in-plane C-H bending vibrations classically occur in the region ${ }^{47} 1150-950 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The aromatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ in-plane bending modes of benzene and its derivatives are observed in the region ${ }^{48} 1300-1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The C-H in-plane bending vibration for compound $3 \mathrm{a} / 3 \mathrm{~b}$ and 3 c are found at $1505.72 / 1506.50$ and 1318.91 and are well matched with experimentally observed bands at $1460.06 / 1593.11$ and 1318 respectively in FTIR spectra. The C-H out of plane bending modes and torsional vibrations appear in general in the low-wave-number regions. In experimental FTIR spectra, the stretching modes for $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ vibration appeared at 1587.36, 1510.21, 1438.84, $1363.62 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} / 1508,1454.27$ / 1612.5, 1570 , $1525,1437.5,1380 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for compound ${ }^{49} 3 \mathrm{a} / 3 \mathrm{~b} / 3 \mathrm{c}$.

### 4.4.4 Methyl group vibrations

The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations have been reported at 3005, 2982 and 2958 and $2937 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ by Subramanian et. al. ${ }^{50}$ Arjunan et. al. ${ }^{51}$ reported C-H stretching modes at $2924 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The anti-symmetric $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ and symmetric stretching mode of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ are computed at 3071.96 ( $87 \%$ ), 3017.70 (50\%), 2946.60 ( $44 \%$ ) / 3056.48 ( $90 \%$ ), 2993.09 (50\%), 2928.91 (45\%) / 3069.49 ( $26 \%$ ), 3059.10 ( $26 \%$ ), 3039.01 (50\%), 2932.30 ( $46 \%$ ), 2925.23 ( $47 \%$ ) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for compound $3 \mathrm{a} / 3 \mathrm{~b} / 3 \mathrm{c}$ show good agreement with experimental observations at 2783.18/2854.54//2825 (asy), 2770(sym) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

### 4.4.5 $C=O$ stretching

The $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch of aldehyde group in $3 \mathrm{a} / 3 \mathrm{~b} / 3 \mathrm{c}$ is calculated to be at 1697 (52\%) and 1743.47 $(67 \%) / 1725.65$ ( $63 \%$ ) and 1784.65 (78\%) /1687.08 ( $52 \%$ ) and $1734.90(69 \%) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and is assigned well with the experimental IR peak ${ }^{52}$ at 1733.94 and $1691.51 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} / 1735.87$ and $1701.15 / 1725$ and 1684 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The other strong bands observed at 1244.04 and $1213.18 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} / 1014.52 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $3 \mathrm{a} / 3 \mathrm{~b}$ is due to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ stretching vibration of the $\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OH}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ bond whose general position ${ }^{53,54}$ is $1000-1200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. This band is calculated at 1265.83 (17\%) and $1256.13(12 \%) \quad \mathrm{cm}^{-1} / 1035.79 \quad(75 \%) \quad \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \quad$ for compound $3 \mathrm{a} / 3 \mathrm{~b}$. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ stretching vibrations reported by Klimentova et al. ${ }^{55}$ lie in the range at $1214-1196 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1097-1093 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 4.4.6 C-S vibrations

The C-S stretching in $3 \mathrm{a} / 3 \mathrm{~b} / 3 \mathrm{c}$ is calculated to be at $656.79 / 832.54 / 968.66 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and is assigned well with the experimental IR peak ${ }^{56}$ at 630.7 / 831 / $950 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


Fig. 6 - Experimental and theoretical IR spectra of compound 3(a-c).

### 4.4.7 C-N vibrations

Sundaraganesan et al. ${ }^{57}$ assigned the band at $1302 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ stretching vibration. Krishna Kumar and Ramasamy ${ }^{58}$ have assigned C-N stretching mode at $1256 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Referring to the above workers, the C-N stretching band is assigned at 1016.45/ $1182.32 / 1340$ and $1225 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The observed peak agrees well with the theoretically calculated values at 1103.48/ 1105.69 / 1358.05 and 1232.87.

### 4.5 Molecular electrostatic potential

To predict reactive sites for the electrophilic and nucleophilic attack, the molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) was calculated at B3LYP/6-31+G (d, p). Potential decreases in the order red $<$ orange $<$ yellow < green < blue showing red as most electro negative MEP, blue as most positive MEP
and green as the region of zero potential. The MEP surface provides necessary information about the reactive sites. The total electron density onto which the electrostatic potential surface has been mapped is shown in Fig. 7. The negative regions were related to electrophilic reactivity and the positive ones to nucleophilic reactivity. Figure 7, provides a visual representation of the chemically active sites and comparative reactivity of atoms ${ }^{59}$. The major positive potential region around hydrogen atom of thiazolidinone moiety characterized by blue colour indicates the site for nucleophilic attack and major negative potential region around oxygen atom of carbonyl group characterized by yellowish red indicate that this site is more prone to electrophilic attack while rest of the region is almost neutral characterized by blue colour.


Fig. $7-3 \mathrm{D}$ plots of the molecular electrostatic potentials of $3(a-c)$.

### 4.6 Natural bond orbital analysis

A useful aspect of the NBO method is that it gives information about interactions in both filled and virtual orbital spaces that could enhance the analysis of intra- and intermolecular interactions. The secondorder Fock matrix was calculated to evaluate the donor-acceptor interactions in NBO analysis.

The Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) calculations ${ }^{60}$ were performed using Gaussian09 package at the B3LYP/6-31G ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}$ ) method in order to elucidate the intramolecular, rehybridization and delocalization of electron density in the molecule and were presented in Table 5(a-c). Various intra and intermolecular interactions are generated due to the different types of "orbital-orbital'"/'lone pair-orbital'" overlap.

NBO analysis of compound 3a showed that intramolecular charge transfer is:
(i) from $\pi(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)$ to $\pi^{*}(\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O} 13)$ with stabilization energy of $20.95 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.
(ii) from $\pi(\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7)$ to $\pi^{*}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)$ and $\pi^{*}(\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 8)$ antibonding orbitals with stabilization energy of 20.67 and $19.36 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.
(iii) From $\pi(\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 8)$ to $\pi^{*}(\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7)$ and $\pi^{*}(\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10)$ with 16.97 and $19.61 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.
(iv) From $\pi(\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10)$ to $\pi^{*}(\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7)$ and $\pi^{*}(\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 8)$ with 20.02 and $17.67 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.
(v) The magnitude of energy transferred from LP (1) of N 11 to $\pi^{*}(\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O} 13)$ and $\pi^{*}(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{O} 14)$ had the stabilization energies 53.85 and $58.49 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.
(vi) Similarly, the electron donated from $\mathrm{LP}(2)$ of O 15 to $\pi^{*}(\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 8)$ leads to the stabilization energy of $29.52 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.
(vii) Similarly, the electron donated from $\operatorname{LP}(2)$ of O16 to $\pi^{*}(\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10)$ leads to the stabilization energy of $28.31 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.
NBO analysis of compound 3 b showed that intramolecular charge transfer is:
(i) From $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ of $\mathrm{n}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{4}\right) \rightarrow \Pi^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{20}\right) \quad$ with stabilization energy of $53.94 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.
(ii) From $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ of $\mathrm{n}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{4}\right)$ to $\Pi^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{O}_{19}\right)$ with stabilization energy of $58.57 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ and $55.05 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.
(iii) The magnitude of energy transferred from $\mathrm{O}_{19}$ of $\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ to $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{S}_{5}\right)$ had stabilization energy of $34.41 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.
(iv) Similarly, the electron donated from $\mathrm{O}_{20}$ of $\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{O}_{20}\right)$ $\rightarrow \Pi *\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{N} 4\right)$ leads to the stabilization energy of $27.76 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.
NBO analysis of compound 3c showed that intramolecular charge transfer is:
(i) From $\mathrm{N}_{15}$ of $\mathrm{n}_{1} \quad\left(\mathrm{~N}_{15}\right) \rightarrow \Pi^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{14}\right)$ with stabilization energy of $53.01 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.
(ii) From $\mathrm{N}_{5}$ of $\mathrm{n}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{5}\right)$ to $\Pi^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ and $\Pi^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ with stabilization energy of $64.73 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ and $55.05 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ respectively.
(iii) The magnitude of energy transferred from $\mathrm{O}_{6}$ of n $\left(\mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ to $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{S}_{4}\right)$ had stabilization energy of $32.17 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Similarly, the electron donated from $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ of $\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{S}_{4}\right) \rightarrow \Pi^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$ leads to the stabilization energy of $29.66 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

### 4.7 Nonlinear optical analysis

Nonlinear optics deals with the interaction of applied electromagnetic fields in various materials to generate new electromagnetic fields, altered in

Table 5(a) - Second order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix in NBO basis of the compound 3a.

| Donor(i) | Type | ED/e | Acceptor(j) | Type | ED/e | E(2) $)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | E(j)-E(i) $)^{\mathrm{b}}$ | F(i,j) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1-C4 | $\pi$ | 1.8443 | C2-O13 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.30083 | 20.95 | 0.29 | 0.072 |
| C1-C4 | $\pi$ | 1.8443 | C5-C7 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.39701 | 8.9 | 0.33 | 0.051 |
| C1-S12 | $\sigma$ | 1.96818 | C4-C5 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 0.02529 | 5.49 | 1.12 | 0.07 |
| C4-H17 | $\sigma$ | 1.97094 | C5-C7 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 0.02397 | 5.16 | 1.09 | 0.067 |
| C5-C7 | $\pi$ | 1.61789 | C1-C4 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.0172 | 20.67 | 0.26 | 0.068 |
| C5-C7 | $\pi$ | 1.61789 | C6-C8 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.349 | 19.36 | 0.27 | 0.065 |
| C6-C8 | $\pi$ | 1.68775 | C5-C7 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.39701 | 16.97 | 0.3 | 0.065 |
| C6-C8 | $\pi$ | 1.68775 | C9-C10 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.40323 | 19.61 | 0.28 | 0.068 |
| C7-C9 | $\pi$ | 1.97388 | C10-O16 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.02833 | 5.33 | 1.04 | 0.067 |
| C9-C10 | $\pi$ | 1.67508 | C5-C7 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.39701 | 20.02 | 0.3 | 0.071 |
| C9-C10 | $\pi$ | 1.67508 | C6-C8 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.349 | 17.67 | 0.3 | 0.065 |
| O15-H24 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 1.98783 | C6-C8 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 0.02027 | 5.61 | 1.32 | 0.077 |
| N11 | $n$ | 1.64475 | C2-O13 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.30083 | 53.85 | 0.28 | 0.111 |
| N11 | $n$ | 1.64475 | C3-O14 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.3284 | 58.49 | 0.27 | 0.113 |
| O15 | $n$ | 1.8688 | C6-C8 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.349 | 29.52 | 0.34 | 0.095 |
| O16 | $n$ | 1.95674 | C9-C10 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.02676 | 7.26 | 1.13 | 0.081 |
| O16 | $n$ | 1.84634 | C9-C10 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.40323 | 28.31 | 0.35 | 0.095 |
| O16 | $n$ | 1.84634 | H21-C26 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 0.01792 | 5.09 | 0.75 | 0.057 |
| C1-C4 | $\pi$ | 0.22294 | C5-C7 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.39701 | 115.86 | 0.02 | 0.076 |
| C2-O13 | $\pi$ | 0.30083 | C1-C4 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.0172 | 87.52 | 0.02 | 0.076 |
| C9-C10 | $\pi$ | 0.40323 | C5-C7 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.39701 | 210.05 | 0.02 | 0.083 |
| C9-C10 | $\pi$ | 0.40323 | C6-C8 | $\Pi^{*}$ | 0.349 | 304.85 | 0.01 | 0.082 |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{E}(2)$ means energy of hyperconjugative interactions (stabilization energy in $\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ )
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Energy difference between donor and acceptor i and j NBO orbitals in a.u.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{ij})$ is the Fock matrix elements between i and j NBO orbitals in a.u.

Table 5(b) - Second order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix in NBO basis of the compound 3b.

| Donor(i) | Type | ED/e | Acceptor $(\mathrm{j})$ | Type | ED/e | $\mathrm{E}(2)$ | $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{j})$-E(i) | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1-C7 | $\pi$ | 1.84336 | C2-O20 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.30325 | 21.17 | 0.29 | 0.072 |
| C1-C7 | $\pi$ | 1.84336 | C8-C10 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.39044 | 8.75 | 0.32 | 0.051 |
| C7-H18 | $\sigma$ | 1.9709 | C1-C2 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 0.07649 | 7.83 | 0.94 | 0.077 |
| C7-H18 | $\sigma$ | 1.9709 | C8-C10 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 0.02455 | 5.33 | 1.09 | 0.068 |
| C8-C10 | $\pi$ | 1.59812 | C1-C7 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.22958 | 21.78 | 0.26 | 0.07 |
| C8-C10 | $\pi$ | 1.59812 | C9-C12 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.28936 | 21.2 | 0.28 | 0.07 |
| C8-C10 | $\pi$ | 1.59812 | C11-C13 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.39609 | 17.5 | 0.26 | 0.061 |
| C9-C12 | $\pi$ | 1.72106 | C8-C10 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.02455 | 14.42 | 0.29 | 0.06 |
| C9-C12 | $\pi$ | 1.72106 | C11-C13 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.39609 | 21.68 | 0.28 | 0.072 |
| C11-C13 | $\pi$ | 1.62382 | C8-C10 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.02455 | 24.87 | 0.29 | 0.076 |
| C11-C13 | $\pi$ | 1.62382 | C9-C12 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.28936 | 14.97 | 0.29 | 0.06 |
| N4 | $n$ | 1.64475 | C2-O20 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.30325 | 53.94 | 0.28 | 0.11 |
| N4 | $n$ | 1.64475 | C3-O19 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.32889 | 58.57 | 0.27 | 0.113 |
| S5 | n | 1.77793 | C1-C7 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.22958 | 15.81 | 0.27 | 0.059 |
| S5 | n | 1.77793 | C3-O19 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 0.32889 | 28.53 | 0.24 | 0.076 |
| O19 | n | 1.81077 | C3-N4 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 0.08663 | 26.1 | 0.67 | 0.122 |
| O19 | n | 1.81077 | C3-S5 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 0.12148 | 34.41 | 0.41 | 0.108 |
| O20 | n | 1.85276 | C1-C2 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 0.07649 | 19.33 | 0.68 | 0.104 |
| O20 | n | 1.85276 | C2-N4 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 0.08064 | 27.76 | 0.67 | 0.124 |
| O21 | n | 1.96397 | C11-C13 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.02928 | 7.62 | 1.1 | 0.082 |
| O21 | n | 1.82219 | C11-C13 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.39609 | 32.9 | 0.34 | 0.1 |
| O21 | n | 1.82219 | C22-H23 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 0.01841 | 5.22 | 0.74 | 0.058 |
| O21 | n | 1.82219 | C22-H25 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 0.01841 | 5.22 | 0.71 | 0.058 |

[^1]| Table 5(c) - Second order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix in NBO basis of the compound 3c. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Donor(i) | Type | ED/e | Acceptor(j) | Type | ED/e | $\mathrm{E}(2)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $E(j)-E(i){ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| C1-S4 | $\sigma$ | 1.968 | C8-C9 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 0.0248 | 5.27 | 1.15 | 0.069 |
| C1-C8 | $\pi$ | 1.826 | C2-O7 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.3346 | 23.99 | 0.28 | 0.076 |
| C1-C8 | $\pi$ | 1.826 | C9-C10 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.4538 | 8.52 | 0.31 | 0.05 |
| C8-H19 | $\sigma$ | 1.97 | C9-C12 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 0.0258 | 5.72 | 1.08 | 0.07 |
| C9-C10 | $\pi$ | 1.591 | C1-C8 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.2701 | 27.76 | 0.26 | 0.08 |
| C9-C10 | $\pi$ | 1.59 | C11-C14 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.4514 | 16.86 | 0.26 | 0.06 |
| C11-C14 | $\pi$ | 1.56 | C9-C10 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.4538 | 29.49 | 0.27 | 0.08 |
| C11-C14 | $\pi$ | 1.56 | C12-C13 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.2684 | 12.92 | 0.29 | 0.057 |
| C12-C13 | $\pi$ | 1.742 | C9-C10 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.4538 | 13.45 | 0.28 | 0.058 |
| S4 | n | 1.78 | C3-06 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.3596 | 29.66 | 0.24 | 0.077 |
| N5 | n | 1.63 | C2-07 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.3346 | 55.05 | 0.27 | 0.11 |
| N5 | n | 1.63 | C3-06 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.3596 | 64.73 | 0.26 | 0.115 |
| O6 | n | 1.82 | C3-S4 | $\sigma^{*}$ | 0.114 | 32.17 | 0.42 | 0.106 |
| N15 | n | 1.667 | C11-C14 | $\pi^{*}$ | 0.4514 | 53.01 | 0.26 | 0.108 |
| ${ }^{a} \mathrm{E}(2)$ means energy of hyperconjugative interactions (stabilization energy in $\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{m}$ <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Energy difference between donor and acceptor i and j NBO orbitals in a.u. <br> ${ }^{c} F(\mathrm{ij})$ is the Fock matrix elements between i and j NBO orbitals in a.u. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6 - Dipole moment $\mu$, polarizability $\alpha_{\text {tot }}\left(\times 10^{-24} \mathrm{esu}\right)$ and first order static hyperpolarizability $\beta_{\text {tot }}\left(10^{-30}\right)$ data for (3a-c) calculated at DFT/B3LYP /6-31G(d,p) level of theory.

| Dipole moment | 3 a | 3 b | 3 c | Hyper polarizability | 3 a | 3 b | 3 c |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 5.5203 | 4.6573 | 9.9143 | $\beta_{\mathrm{xxx}}$ | -15.5044 | -0.13395 | -132.169 |
| $\mu_{\mathrm{y}}$ | 2.3722 | 0.6412 | 0.0383 | $\beta_{\mathrm{xxy}}$ | 0.74197 | 0.00641 | -0.24028 |
| $\mu_{\mathrm{z}}$ | 0.1576 | 0.0004 | 0.2297 | $\beta_{\mathrm{xyy}}$ | -1.6666 | -0.0144 | -0.52578 |
| $\mu$ | 6.0105 | 4.7012 | 9.917 | $\beta_{\mathrm{yyy}}$ | 0.84674 | 0.007315 | 0.245337 |
| Polarizability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\alpha_{\mathrm{xx}}$ | 47.86371 | 7.093402 | 82.8106 | $\beta_{\mathrm{xxz}}$ | 0.002592 | $2.24 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.005637 |
| $\alpha_{\mathrm{xy}}$ | 0.435501 | 0.064541 | -0.87952 | $\beta_{\mathrm{xyz}}$ | -0.00338 | $-2.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00795 |
| $\alpha_{\mathrm{yy}}$ | 22.21874 | 3.292817 | 32.78955 | $\beta_{\mathrm{yyz}}$ | -0.00598 | $-5.2 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00792 |
| $\alpha_{\mathrm{xz}}$ | -0.00011 | $-1.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002094 | $\beta_{\mathrm{xzz}}$ | 0.110834 | 0.000958 | 0.729153 |
| $\alpha_{\mathrm{yz}}$ | -0.00021 | $-3.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -0.00419 | $\beta_{\mathrm{yzz}}$ | 0.199813 | 0.001726 | -0.12742 |
| $\alpha_{z z}$ | 9.183228 | 1.360954 | 13.25502 | $\beta_{z z z}$ | -0.00027 | $-2.3 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.005066 |
| $<\alpha>$ | 79.26567 | 3.915724 | 42.95172 | $\beta_{\text {totalesu) }}$ | 17.1536 | 15.7605 | 131.96 |

wavenumber, phase, or other physical properties ${ }^{61}$. Organic molecules able to manipulate photonic signals efficiently are of importance in technologies such as optical communication, optical computing, and dynamic image processing ${ }^{62,63}$. Organic materials have been shown in recent years to possess superior second-order nonlinear optical properties compared to the more traditional inorganic materials. This property together with the inherent ultra-fast response time and enumerable structural variations of organic materials has drawn a sizeable amount of research interest in organic nonlinear optical (NLO) materials. In this context, the first hyperpolarizability was also calculated in the present study. First hyperpolarizability is a third rank tensor that can be described by a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ matrix. The 27 components of
the 3 D matrix can be reduced to 10 components due to the Kleinman symmetry ${ }^{64}$.

Since the value of the polarizabilities $\alpha$ and the hyperpolarizability of Gaussion output is reported in a atomic mass units (a.u.), the calculated values have been converted into electrostatic units (esu) ( $\alpha: 1 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{u}=$ $0.1482 \times 10^{-24}$ esu; $\beta$ : 1 a.u. $\left.=.0086393 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{esu}\right)$. The results of electronic dipole moment $\mu \mathrm{i}(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$, polarizability $\alpha$ ij and first order hyperpolarizability ${ }^{65}$ Bijk are listed in Table 6. The calculated first hyper polarizability values of the all the three compounds are greater than that of the standard NLO material urea $^{66}\left(0.13 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{esu}\right)$, concluding that all the three compounds can be used as nonlinear optical material. Urea is the classical molecule used in the study of the NLO properties of the molecular systems and is used
often as a threshold value for comparative purposes. The dipole moment of $3 \mathrm{a} / 3 \mathrm{~b} / 3 \mathrm{c}$ is calculated to be 6.0105/4.7012/9.917 Debye with maximum contribution from X direction. In Y and Z directions, dipole moment is practically negligible. The calculated dipole moment for three molecules is given in Table 6. Table 6 shows that the calculated values of a dipole moment in case of 3 a and 3 b are nearly equal and quite lower than 3c. The first static hyperpolarisability value is found to be appreciably higher $131.96 \times 10^{-30}$ in 3 c than 3 a and 3 b .

### 4.8 Thermodynamic properties

Several thermodynamic parameters like zero-point vibrational energy, rotational constants, thermal energy and rotational temperatures of studied molecules have been calculated using DFT / B3LYP functional with $631-\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set (Table 7). On the basis of vibrational analysis and statistical thermodynamics, the standard thermodynamic
functions such as heat capacity (CV) and entropy (S) for the title molecules were calculated from 100 to 500 K and are listed in Table 8. As seen from the table, standard thermodynamical parameters increase with an increase in temperature, which is attributed to the enhancement of molecular vibration with the temperature ${ }^{67,68}$. The corresponding fitting equations and correlation values are given in Table 9 and the correlation graphics are shown in Fig. 8.

### 4.9 Chemical reactivity

### 4.9.1 Global reactivity descriptors

Global reactivity descriptors such as HOMO \& LUMO, band gap ( $\varepsilon_{\text {LUмо }}-\varepsilon_{\text {номо }}$ ), ionization potential $(I)$, electron affinity $(A)$, electronegativity ( $\chi$ ), global hardness ( $\eta$ ), chemical potential ( $\mu$ ), global electrophilicity index ( $\omega$ ), global softness ( $S$ ) and additional electronic charge ( $\Delta N_{\max }$ ) of reactant $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and product C for compound $3 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{~b}$ and 3 c have been calculated ${ }^{65}$ and are listed in Table 10.

|  | Table 7 — Calculated thermodynamic parameters of compounds 3(a-c). |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameters | 3 a | 3 b |  |
| Zero-point vibrational energy | 118.0423 | 115.4854 | 3 c |
| (Kcal/mol) |  |  | 140.8308 |
| Rotational temperature (K) | 0.6087 | 0.07769 | 0.07161 |
|  | 0.00822 | 0.00908 | 0.00766 |
| Rotational Constant (GHZ) X | 0.00725 | 0.00814 | 0.00693 |
| Y | 1.26839 | 1.61872 | 1.49212 |
| Z | 0.17127 | 0.18925 | 0.15953 |
| Total Energy $E_{\text {total }}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | 0.15104 | 0.16962 | 0.14439 |
| Transational | 127.666 |  | 150.883 |
| Rotational | 0.889 | 0.889 | 0.889 |
| Vibrational | 0.889 | 0.889 | 0.889 |

Table 8 - Thermodynamic functions at different temperatures at the B3LYP /6-31-G (d,p) level.

| Temperature (T) (K) | Heat capacity(CV) ( $\mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}$ ) |  |  | Entropy (S) ( $\mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3a | 3b | 3 c | 3a | 3b | 3 c |
| 100 | 23.76 | 21.961 | 25.669 | 83.77 | 81.519 | 86.657 |
| 200 | 41.48 | 37.653 | 42.675 | 107.094 | 102.896 | 111.021 |
| 298.15 | 57.63 | 52.932 | 59.351 | 127.515 | 121.599 | 131.979 |
| 300 | 57.918 | 53.21 | 59.658 | 127.885 | 121.94 | 132.359 |
| 400 | 72.301 | 67.239 | 75.404 | 147.145 | 139.79 | 152.295 |
| 500 | 84.222 | 78.976 | 88.885 | 165.048 | 156.542 | 171.059 |

Table 9 - Correlation values and correlation equations of compounds 3(a-c) using B3LYP /6-31G (d, p).

| S. No. | Compound | Correlation equations |  | Correlation values |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Heat capacity $(\mathrm{CV})$ | Entropy (S) | $(\mathrm{CV})$ | $(\mathrm{S})$ |
| 1 | 3 a | $\mathrm{CV}=3.5731+0.2104 \mathrm{~T}-1.10^{-5} \mathrm{~T}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}=59.255+0.2553 \mathrm{~T}-9.10^{-5} \mathrm{~T}^{2}$ | 0.99 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 b | $\mathrm{CV}=3.9816+0.1843 \mathrm{~T}-7.10^{-5} \mathrm{~T}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}=59.278+0.2312 \mathrm{~T}-7.10^{-5} \mathrm{~T}^{2}$ | 0.99 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 c | $\mathrm{CV}=6.5645+0.1947 \mathrm{~T}-6.10^{-5} \mathrm{~T}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}=61.413+0.2635 \mathrm{~T}-9.10^{-5} \mathrm{~T}^{2}$ | 0.99 | 0.99 |

It has been found that compound 3 b is harder (more stable) than 3 a and 3 c as its calculated binding energy and chemical hardness has higher values. The chemical potential characterizes the tendency of an electron to escape from the molecule in the equilibrium state. In the present work, the chemical potential varies with the substituent present on the aromatic ring and found to be higher for $3 b$ while global electrophilicity index decreases from 3a to 3 c .



Fig. 8 - Correlation graphs of heat capacity and entropy calculated at various temperatures using B3LYP.

Electrophilic charge transfer ${ }^{65}$ (ECT) is defined as the difference between the $\Delta N_{\text {max }}$ values of interacting molecules. If we consider two molecules A and B approach to each other (i) if ECT $>0$, charge flow from B to A (ii) if ECT < 0 , charge flow from A to B.

The calculated value of ECT $<0($ ECT $=-0.2693$, 0.4702 and -1.544 for $3 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{~b}$ and 3 c ), for reactant molecules thiazolidine- 2, 4-dione (1) and substituted benzaldehyde (2) indicates charge flow from reactant 1 to reactant 2 . Therefore, in all cases the reactant molecule (1) acts as global nucleophile (electron donor) and (2) as global electrophile (electron acceptor).

### 4.9.2 Local reactivity descriptors

Using Hirshfeld population analysis of neutral, cation and anion state of molecule, Fukui Functions are calculated. Fukui functions $\left(f_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}, f_{\mathrm{k}}^{-}\right)$, local softnesses $\left(s_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}\right.$, $\left.S_{\mathrm{K}}^{-}\right)$and local electrophilicity indices ${ }^{65}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}, \omega_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{-}\right)$for selected atomic sites of molecule have been listed in Table 11 which shows that the relative high values of local reactivity descriptors $\left(f_{\mathrm{k}}^{+},,_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}, \omega_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}\right)$:
(i) At C 2 indicates that this site is prone to nucleophilic, whereas the relatively high values of local reactivity descriptors at O 13 indicate that this site is more prone to electrophilic attack for compound 3a.
(ii) At C 2 indicates that this site is prone to nucleophilic, whereas the relatively high values of local reactivity descriptors at O 20 indicate that this site is more prone to electrophilic attack for compound 3 b .
(iii) At C 2 indicates that this site is prone to nucleophilic, whereas the relatively high values of local reactivity descriptors at O7 indicate that this site is more prone to electrophilic attack for compound 3c.

Table 10 - Calculated $\varepsilon_{\text {LUMO }}, \varepsilon_{\text {НОМО }}$, energy band gap $\varepsilon_{\text {LUMO }}-\varepsilon_{\text {НОмО }}$, ionization potential (IP), electron affinity (EA), electronegativity $(\chi)$, global hardness $(\eta)$, chemical potential ( $\mu$ ), global electrophilicity index $(\omega)$, global softness $(S)$ and additional electronic charge
$\left(\Delta N_{\max }\right)$ in eV for 3(a-c) using B3LYP/6-31G(d,p).

|  | $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}}$ | $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}-}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{L}}$ | I | A | $\chi$ | $\eta$ | $\mu$ | $\omega$ | S | $\Delta \mathrm{N}_{\max }$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reactant (A) | -7.42 | -1.06 | -6.36 | 7.42 | 1.06 | 4.24 | 3.18 | -4.24 | 2.83 | 0.15 | 1.33 |
| Reactant (B) | -6.04 | -1.4 | -4.64 | 6.04 | 1.4 | 3.72 | 2.32 | -3.72 | 2.98 | 0.21 | 1.6 |
| Product (C ) | -5.73 | -2.19 | -3.54 | 5.73 | 2.19 | 3.96 | 1.77 | -3.96 | 4.43 | 0.28 | 2.23 |
| 3b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reactant (A) | -7.429 | -1.063 | -6.365 | 7.429 | 1.063 | 4.246 | 3.182 | -4.246 | 2.83 | 0.157 | 1.33 |
| Reactant (B) | -4.36 | -1.24 | -3.12 | 4.36 | 1.24 | 2.8 | 1.56 | -2.8 | 2.52 | 0.32 | 1.8002 |
| Product (C) | -5.83 | -2.19 | -3.64 | 5.8394 | 2.1953 | 4.0173 | 1.822 | -4.01 | 4.428 | 0.2744 | 2.2048 |
| 3c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reactant (A) | -5.692 | -1.931 | 3.76 | 5.692 | 1.931 | -3.811 | 1.88 | 3.8115 | 3.86 | 0.265 | -2.027 |
| Reactant (B) | -5.493 | -1.069 | 4.42 | 5.493 | 1.0699 | -3.281 | 2.2115 | 3.2814 | 2.434 | 0.226 | -1.483 |
| Product (C) | -5.253 | 2.0359 | -3.21 | 5.2533 | 2.0359 | -3.685 | 1.608 | 3.6446 | 4.128 | 0.3108 | -2.26 |

Table 11 - Using Hirshfeld population analysis: Fukui functions $\left(f_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{+}, f_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{-}\right)$, Local softnesses ( $\mathrm{sk}^{+}$, sk ${ }^{-}$) in eV, local electrophilicity indices $\left(\omega \mathrm{k}^{+}, \omega \mathrm{k}^{-}\right)$in eV for selected atomic sites of product.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hirshfeld atomic charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fukui functions |


| Table 12 - Geometrical parameters (bond length) and topological parameters for bonds of interacting atoms: electron density ( $\rho \mathrm{\rho CCP}$ ), Laplacian of electron density $\nabla^{2} \rho(\mathrm{BCP})$ ), electron kinetic energy density ( $G B C P$ ), electron potential energy density ( $V \mathrm{BCP}$ ), total electron energy density ( $H \mathrm{BCP}$ ) at bond critical point ( BCP ) and estimated interaction energy ( $E_{\text {int }}$ ) of compounds ( $3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ ). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Interaction | Bond length | $\rho(\mathrm{BCP})$ | $\frac{\nabla^{2}}{\rho(\mathrm{BCP})}$ | $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{BCP})$ | V (BCP) | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{BCP})$ | Elipticity | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}_{\text {int }} \\ (\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | O13-H19 | 2.02 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.01 | -0.01 | -0.0003 | 0.04 | -6.00204 |
| 2 | O16-H24 | 2.09 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.01 | -0.01 | 0.001388 | 0.115 | -5.6064 |
| 3b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | H17-O20 | 2.03 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.02 | -0.02 | -0.003 | 0.01 | -6.03 |
| 3 c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | O7-H22 | 2.035 | 0.024182 | 0.072622 | 0.018488 | -0.0188 | -0.00033 | 0.006293 | -5.90509 |




Fig. 9 - Molecular graph of the compounds(3a-c) using AIM program at B3LYP/6-31G (d,p) level.

### 4.10 AIM approach

Molecular graph of the compounds using AIM program at B3LYP/6-31G (d,p) level is presented in Fig. 9. According to Rozas et al. ${ }^{69}$ the interactions may be classified as follows:
(i) Strong H-bonds are characterized by $\nabla^{2} \rho(\mathrm{BCP})<$ 0 and $H \mathrm{BCP}<0$ and their covalent character is established.
(ii) Medium H-bonds are characterized by $\nabla^{2} \rho$ (BCP) $>0$ and $H \mathrm{BCP}<0$ and their partially covalent character is established.
(iii) Weak H-bonds are characterized by $\nabla^{2} \rho(\mathrm{BCP})>$ 0 and $H \mathrm{BCP}>0$ and they are mainly electrostatic
(where, $\rho(\mathrm{BCP})$ and $H \mathrm{BCP}$ are Laplacian of electron density and total electron energy density at bond critical point respectively) and the distance between interacting atoms is greater than the sum of Van der Waal's radii of these atoms.
Geometrical as well as topological parameters for bonds of interacting atoms are given in Table 12, according to above criteria:
(i) The interactions O13....H19, are medium and the interaction O16.... H 24 is weak interaction for compound 3 a and as all $\nabla^{2}(\mathrm{BCP})$ and $H \mathrm{BCP}$ parameters were greater than zero hence H17O20 are weak interactions in case of compound 3 b and $\mathrm{O} 7 \ldots \mathrm{H} 22$ are weak interactions in case of compound 3c.
Bader's theory application was used to estimate hydrogen bond energy ( $E$ ). Espinosa proposed proportionality between hydrogen bond energy $(E)$ and potential energy density ${ }^{70}$ (VBCP): $E=1 / 2$ (VBCP). According to AIM calculation, the total energy of intramolecular interactions was calculated as -$11.6084,-6.03$ and $-5.90 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $3 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{~b}$ and 3 c .

The ellipticity ( $\varepsilon$ ) at BCP is a sensitive index to monitor the $\pi$-character of bond. The $\varepsilon$ is related to $\lambda 1$ and $\lambda 2$, which corresponds to the eigen values of Hessian and defined by a relationship: $\varepsilon=(\lambda 1 / \lambda 2)-1$. The ellipticity values for bonds are given in Table 12. The lower values of ellipticity confirm that there is delocalization of electrons in aromatic ring ${ }^{71}$.

## 5 Conclusions

The spectroscopic features of compounds 3(a-c) were investigated by combined FT-IR, UV-Vis, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopic techniques. In the present work, the geometric parameters, the vibrational frequencies, frontier orbital band gap, MESP surfaces and the non-linear optical properties of compound 3a/3b/3c were calculate using DFT/ B3LYP method.

The higher frontier orbital energy gap and the lower dipole moment values make the compound 3 b less reactive and less polar, hence most stable among the three molecules. A good agreement between experimental and calculated normal modes of vibrations has been observed. The assignments of wavenumbers were made with PED. The energies of the important molecular orbitals and the electronic absorption wavelengths were also investigated by TDDFT method along with the other electronic and NLO properties. The thermodynamic features were obtained by DFT/B3LYP. The thermodynamic functions; heat capacity (C) and entropy (S) increase with the increasing temperature ( $100-500 \mathrm{~K}$ ) owing to the intensities of the molecular vibrations. The local reactivity descriptors $\left(f_{\mathrm{k}}^{-}, s_{\mathrm{k}}^{-}, \omega_{\mathrm{k}}^{-}\right)$indicate the site for electrophilic and nucleophilic attack. The compound 3 c has significantly higher value $\left(131.96 \times 10^{-30}\right)$ of total hyperpolarizability.
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[^0]:    *Corresponding author (E-mail: abhabishnoi5@gmail.com)

[^1]:    ${ }^{a} \mathrm{E}(2)$ means energy of hyperconjugative interactions (stabilization energy in $\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ )
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Energy difference between donor and acceptor i and j NBO orbitals in a.u.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{ij})$ is the Fock matrix elements between i and j NBO orbitals in a.u.

